arXiv:hep-lat/0508020v1 22 Aug 2005

A Transverse Lattice QCD Model for Mesons

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Science

Raghunath Ratabole

Centre for High Energy Physics
Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore - 560012, India

Submitted: August 2003
Defended: July 2004

Declaration

This thesis describes work done by me during my tenure as a Ph. D. student at the Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore. This thesis has not formed the basis for the award of any degree, diploma, membership, associateship or similar title of any university or institution.

Raghunath Ratabole
August 2003

Centre for High Energy Physics
Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore - 560012
India

Acknowledgements

This thesis wouldn't have been possible without the help of

- Apoorva Patel: My thesis advisor. Working with him has been a great learning experience.
- Avaroth Harindranath: I developed an interest in light-front field theory through his lectures at the SERC school, Santiniketan. I eventually collaborated with him and Asmita Mukherjee in the area of light-front QCD.
- Asmita Mukherjee: I was lucky to have her as a collaborator who would willingly and patiently trace out little errors in my calculations.
- The LyX Team: Their creation, LyX, a frontend for LaTeX, made thesis writing an easy task.
- CSIR: I thank them for providing research fellowship.
- DST: I acknowledge support from the project "Some aspects of low energy hadronic physics, SP/S2/K-28/99".
- Anand Ratabole: My father. He has been most kind and patient in dealing with me.
- Sanjeevani Jain: My college physics teacher. If it weren't for her, I would probably have majored in chemistry.
- Arvind Kumar: I learnt Quantum Mechanics from his weekly pedagogical lectures at the Homi Bhabha Centre for Science education.
- I have made many friends during my stay on the IISc campus. Amongst them are those who get voyeuristic pleasure out of reading thesis acknowlegdements. I dedicate this thesis to them.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
- 1.1 Reasons for gauge theory of the strong interactions . . . 1
- 1.2 QCD . . . 3
- 1.3 Summary of the thesis . . . 5

2 QCD at large N_c and strong transverse gauge coupling **7**
- 2.1 Large-N_c limit . . . 7
- 2.2 Strong coupling limit . . . 12
- 2.3 Combined large-N_c and strong transversegauge coupling limits . . . 15
- 2.4 $SU(N_c)$ transverse lattice gauge theory . . . 19
  - 2.4.1 Basic gauge invariant quantities . . . 19
  - 2.4.2 Transverse lattice QCD action . . . 22
  - 2.4.3 Determination of parameters . . . 24
- 2.5 The generating functional . . . 25

3 The Chiral Condensate **32**
- 3.1 Chiral symmetry . . . 32
- 3.2 Quark propagator . . . 35
- 3.3 Chiral condensate . . . 37
  - 3.3.1 Naive fermions . . . 37
  - 3.3.2 Wilson fermions . . . 38

4 The Meson Spectrum **39**
- 4.1 Quark-antiquark scattering Green's function . . . 39
- 4.2 Bethe-Salpeter equation . . . 40
- 4.3 Meson properties . . . 42
  - 4.3.1 Naive fermions . . . 44
  - 4.3.2 Wilson fermions . . . 45
- 4.4 Outlook . . . 45

A Notations and Conventions **47**
- A.1 Space-time . . . 47
- A.2 Pauli matrices . . . 48

A.3 Dirac matrices 48
A.4 Lie algebra of $SU(N_c)$ 49
A.5 Parallel transporters 50

B The 't Hooft Model 51
B.1 Preliminaries 51
B.2 Generating functional for quark-antiquarkcorrelation functions 51
B.3 Computing $Z[J]$ in large-N_c limit 52
B.4 Quark propagator 54
B.5 Meson spectrum 55
B.6 't Hooft solution 58

C Lattice QCD at strong coupling and large-N_c 60
C.1 Preliminaries 60
C.2 Generating functional for quark-antiquarkcorrelation functions 61
C.3 Chiral condensate 64
C.4 Meson propagator 65

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Reasons for gauge theory of the strong interactions

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is an $SU_c(3)$ colour gauge theory, describing interactions of quarks in the fundamental representation. We begin by summarizing the standard arguments in favour of QCD as the theory for strong interaction physics (see for instance [23]).

1. At an empirical level, light hadrons (mesons and baryons) can be classified according to representations of $SU_f(3)$ (the subscript f indicates flavour). It is observed that precisely those representations of $SU_f(3)$ are physically realized that may be obtained by decomposing the direct products $\underline{3} \otimes \underline{3}^\star$ (mesons) or $\underline{3} \otimes \underline{3} \otimes \underline{3}$ (baryons) as direct sums of irreducible representations of $SU_f(3)$.

   $$\begin{aligned} \underline{3} \otimes \underline{3}^\star &= \underline{8} \oplus \underline{1} \\ \underline{3} \otimes \underline{3} \otimes \underline{3} &= \underline{10} \oplus \underline{8} \oplus \underline{8} \oplus \underline{1} \end{aligned}$$

   It is an important fact that the fundamental representations of $SU_f(3)$, $\underline{3}$ and $\underline{3}^\star$, are not among the physically realized representations.

2. This leads to the hypothesis of quarks and antiquarks as the fundamental constituents of hadrons (Quark Model of hadrons). One finds that there are three “flavours” of light quarks (antiquarks), which transform according to the fundamental representation $\underline{3}$ ($\underline{3}^\star$) of $SU_f(3)$. These three flavours are referred to as “up”(u), “down”(d) and “strange”(s), and they have electric charges $(\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$ respectively. Consideration of $SU_f(3)$ as an approximate symmetry of the strong interactions leads to the above mentioned classification of hadrons. The approximate nature of $SU_f(3)$ is due to the fact that the three quark flavours have different masses and electric charges. In the Non-relativistic Quark Model, the intrinsic angular momenta associated with hadrons are obtained by combining $SU_{\text{spin}}(2)$ with $SU_f(3)$. This leads to a reasonably successful $SU(6)$ classification scheme. The orbital excitations are then included by classifying hadrons under $SU(6) \otimes O_{\text{space}}(3)$.

3. Absence of quarks among the observed hadrons requires them to exist only as constituents of bound states. The quarks are assigned colour charge, and the strong interactions bind them into colour neutral hadrons. This is the phenomenon of confinement. In the Non-relativistic Quark Model, a meson is a bound state of a quark and an antiquark, while a baryon is a bound state of three quarks.

4. Mesons are bosons, while baryons are fermions. This requires quarks to be fermions, and baryon wavefunctions to be fully antisymmetric when all their degrees of freedom are taken into account. In the Non-relativistic Quark Model, the lowest lying baryons are in zero relative angular momentum states, and their wavefunctions are symmetric in spin-flavour degrees of freedom. For example, the wavefunction of the $\Delta^{++}\left(\frac{3}{2}^{+}\right)$ is $|u_\uparrow u_\uparrow u_\uparrow\rangle$, where the up-arrow ($\uparrow$) denotes the spin $S_z = \frac{1}{2}$ for each quark. These wavefunctions are reconciled with Fermi statistics by postulating a new internal quantum number for quarks, i.e. colour. If each quark has three, otherwise indistinguishable, colour states, which transform according to the fundamental representation of $SU_c(3)$ (subscript c stands for colour), then Fermi statistics is saved by using a totally antisymmetric colour wavefunction for baryons.

5. This assignment makes strong interactions invariant under global $SU_c(3)$ transformations. The states may then be classified according to various irreducible representations of $SU_c(3)$. Using the fact that physical hadrons are colour neutral, i.e. singlets under $SU_c(3)$, we can understand why only $q\overline{q}$ and qqq states (and not q, qq, $qqqq$ etc.) exist in nature—the singlet representation appears only in the $\underline{3} \otimes \underline{3}^\star$ and $\underline{3} \otimes \underline{3} \otimes \underline{3}$ products.

6. Data from deep inelastic $e - p$ scattering (which involves a large momentum transfer to the proton via the exchange of a virtual photon) suggest that the proton can be understood as a bound state of constituents called "partons", which interact very weakly with each other at high energies. At low energies, the interactions among the partons becomes strong and they are strongly bound to each other. These ideas form the basis of Feynman's Parton Model. Non-abelian gauge theories can provide a field-theoretic understanding for Parton Model, because it has been shown that only non-abelian gauge theories are asymptotically free, i.e. their interactions vanish as energy $E \to \infty$ but become strong at a scale $E \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ ($\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ denotes the $O(\rm GeV)$ confinement scale).

7. Experimentally, there is no evidence for any flavour dependence of strong interactions; it is found that flavour-dependent effects can be explained by quark mass and electric charge differences. We have already argued that strong interactions should have an exact global $SU_c(3)$ symmetry. Converting this to a local symmetry, $SU_c(3)$ becomes the non-abelian gauge theory of strong interactions.

8. The concept of three colour states for quarks is supported by at least two other powerful experimental results.

(a) The parton model cross-section calculation for the process $e^+ + e^- \to$ hadrons: At large energies ($E \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$), the ratio

$$R = \frac{\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons}\right)}{\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\right)}$$

is predicted to be

$$\begin{aligned} R = 3\sum_q Q_q^2 &= 3 \times \left(\frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \cdots\right) \\ &= \frac{11}{3} \text{ (including quarks up to } b\text{)} \end{aligned}$$

The experimental value of R is in good agreement with this prediction and in poor agreement with the colourless prediction $\frac{11}{9}$.

(b) The decay rate for $\pi^0 \to 2\gamma$: This is again proportional to the number of colours, and the observed decay rate agrees with three colour states for the quarks.

All this analysis suggests that the theory of strong interactions is a non-abelian gauge theory based on the gauge group $SU_c(3)$ with quarks in the fundamental representation. This theory is precisely defined with a single gauge coupling and quark masses as its parameters. For its confirmation, it must quantitatively explain quark confinement, spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry (i.e. light pions), the hadron spectrum, partial decay widths, cross-sections, form-factors, structure functions, and so on.

1.2 QCD

QCD is a non-abelian gauge theory based on the gauge group $SU_c(3)$. We assume that there are N_f quark flavours (experimentally $N_f = 6$). Each quark of a specific flavour n comes in 3 colours, and transforms according to the fundamental representation of $SU_c(3)$. The QCD Lagrangian for N_f flavours of quarks is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_a^{\mu\nu}(x)F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_f} \overline{\Psi}_n(x)\left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_n\right]\Psi(x).$$

Various symmetries of the QCD follow from $\mathcal{L}$.

- Invariance under the $(3+1)$-dim Poincaré group, which consists of time translations, space translations, spatial rotations, Lorentz boosts, and their combinations. Conservation of energy, linear momentum and angular momentum follow as a result of these space-time symmetries.

- Charge conjugation, Parity and Time-reversal invariance.

- $SU_c(3)$ colour gauge invariance.
- Invariance under $\Psi_n \to \exp\left[i\theta_n\right]\Psi_n$ and $\overline{\Psi}_n \to \overline{\Psi}_n \exp\left[-i\theta_n\right]$. This corresponds to

  $$\underbrace{U(1)\otimes U(1)\otimes\cdots\otimes U(1)}_{N_f \text{ times}} \equiv \left[U(1)\right]^{N_f}$$

  global invariance, which implies conservation of the number of quarks minus the number of antiquarks for every flavour.
- When all $m_n = 0$, invariance under

  $$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi_n \to \left(\exp\left[i\theta_k t_k + i\tilde{\theta}_k t_k \gamma_5\right]\right)_{nm}\Psi_m & , & \overline{\Psi}_n \to \overline{\Psi}_m\left(\exp\left[-i\theta_k t_k + i\tilde{\theta}_k t_k \gamma_5\right]\right)_{mn} \\ \Psi_n \to \exp\left[i\theta + i\tilde{\theta}\gamma_5\right]\Psi_n & , & \overline{\Psi}_n \to \overline{\Psi}_n \exp\left[-i\theta + i\tilde{\theta}\gamma_5\right] \end{array} ,$$

  where t_k are the $N_f^2 - 1$ matrices generating the Lie algebra of $SU\left(N_f\right)$ group, and θ_k and $\tilde{\theta}_k$ are arbitrary real parameters (see Appendix A). Thus the global $\left[U(1)\right]^{N_f}$ gets extended to $SU_L\left(N_f\right)\otimes SU_R\left(N_f\right)\otimes U_V(1)\otimes U_A(1)$ which is known as the the chiral group of symmetries. The $U_V(1)$ symmetry is exact and corresponds to the conservation of Baryon number. The $U_A(1)$ symmetry is anomalous, i.e. although it is a symmetry of the Lagrangian, it is not a symmetry of the quantum dynamics. Since parity doubling is absent in the observed hadron spectrum, one expects the $SU_L\left(N_f\right)\otimes SU_R\left(N_f\right)$ symmetry to be spontaneously broken to its diagonal subgroup $SU_V\left(N_f\right)$. A signature of this spontaneous symmetry breaking is provided by the non-zero expectation value of the chiral condensate, $\left\langle\overline{\Psi}\Psi\right\rangle \neq 0$, and $N_f^2 - 1$ massless Goldstone bosons. (For $N_f = 2$, the triplet of pions are identified as the pseudo-Goldstone bosons).
- The axial (A) part of the chiral symmetry is broken explicitly by the non-zero bare quark masses, while the vector (V) part is explicitly broken due to the fact that the bare quark masses are unequal. Thus the mass terms account for explicit flavour symmetry breaking effects in QCD.

An important aspect of 4-dim QCD which arises as a result of the non-abelian nature of the gauge group $SU_c(3)$ is asymptotic freedom. The coupling constant goes to zero as the energy scale at which it is defined is increased. Thus the behaviour of the Green's functions when all the momenta are scaled up by a common factor is governed by a theory where $g \to 0$. For processes which involve large momentum transfers, perturbation theory in powers of g can be reliably used, and it is in this domain that QCD has been extensively tested. Factorization of long distance physics (which is process independent) from the short distance distance physics (which is process specific) gives perturbative QCD a clear predictive power. Perturbation theory also indicates that $g \to \infty$ at a low energy scale, typically labeled Λ_{QCD}. The strong coupling region (g very large) has been studied for

QCD formulated on a space-time lattice using high temperature expansions. Various non-perturbative features of hadronic physics (e.g. confinement, chiral symmetry breaking and quantum numbers of light hadrons) emerge naturally when g is large. To connect the two regions, QCD has to be analysed at intermediate values of g. It has not been possible to do this analytically so far. Numerical simulations have extensively explored this intervening region, however, and they show that the region of large gauge coupling is analytically connected to the region of small gauge coupling, without any phase transition in between. This implies that the symmetries of the theory are the same in both the strong coupling and the weak coupling regions.

1.3 Summary of the thesis

There is little doubt that QCD is the theory behind hadronic physics. Our main difficulty lies in performing quantitative calculations using it. Such calculations are important because they test the success of QCD in low energy domain. For example, the cross-section for a process involving hadrons, in general, has a sizable non-perturbative component related to hadronic substructure. If such processes are to be used to identify physics beyond the Standard Model, then it is important to have a good theoretical control over the non-perturbative contribution.

The study of bound states in QCD is made difficult due to [29]

- the unlimited growth of the running coupling g in the infrared region, which invalidates use of perturbation theory;
- confinement, which requires potentials that diverge at long distances as opposed to the Coulomb/Yukawa potentials used in perturbation theory;
- spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, which cannot be demonstrated using perturbation theory; and
- the non-perturbative structure of the QCD vacuum.

Before one can quantitatively understand QCD, it is necessary to establish a suitable framework for studying these non-perturbative effects. A lattice formulation is a natural way to define QCD so that all the non-perturbative physics is accessible. Much progress has been made since QCD was formulated on a space-time lattice by Wilson [28] in 1974. In this thesis, we study QCD formulated on a transverse lattice, which combines techniques from light-front field theory and lattice gauge theory. An approximation scheme based on $1/N_c$ expansion and transverse strong gauge coupling expansion allows us to systematically study this transverse lattice QCD.

The use of light-front framework in studying bound states has several advantages over the equal-time framework. In this framework, the theory is evolved along a light-like direction (x^+). The light-front wavefunctions, which encode the bound state structure, are the eigenstates of the light-front Hamiltonian P^-. Since the longitudinal and transverse boosts are purely kinematical, it becomes easy to separate the centre-of-mass motion from the internal motion. Furthermore one can choose to work with internal variables which are manifestly boost invariant. The computation of the light-front wavefunctions leads to estimates of a wide range of observables. Implementing a cut-off that removes degrees of freedom with exactly zero longitudinal momentum, one can force the vacuum to be trivial. The bound state wavefunctions can then be expanded in the light-front Fock space of its constituents. In the large-N_c limit, only the lowest Fock space amplitudes survive. The non-dynamical longitudinal gauge field provides a linear confining potential in the x^--direction (see Appendix B).

The lattice cut-off in the transverse directions provides a non-perturbative gauge-invariant regularization, albeit at the cost of rotational invariance. In the strong transverse gauge coupling limit, there is a linear confining potential in the transverse directions. We expect QCD formulated with two continuum (light-front) and two lattice (transverse) dimensions to be closer to real continuum QCD, than the extensively studied version with all the four dimensions on lattice. In the limit of large-N_c and strong transverse gauge coupling, the dynamics of QCD along the light-front and the transverse directions essentially factorizes, and the theory can be solved in a closed form. This approach to studying QCD was outlined by Patel [22]. Here we present the explicit solution, and that is the original contribution of this thesis.

In Chapter 2, we formulate QCD in the large-N_c and strong transverse coupling limits, and then exactly integrate out all the gauge degrees of freedom to obtain the generating functional for quark-antiquark bilinears. We study the chiral properties of our limiting theory in Chapter 3, for naive as well as Wilson fermions, and obtain a recursive relation for the chiral condensate. In Chapter 4, we obtain the homogeneous integral equation satisfied by the meson states of our theory. Comparison of this equation with the corresponding one for the 't Hooft model allows us to infer many physical meson properties. Our results are consistent with phenomenological expectations, and this is the first time that such results have been obtained from $(3+1)$-dim QCD, with only quark and gluon degrees of freedom and no ad hoc model assumptions. Extraction of precise values requires numerical solution of the integral equation; we have not yet carried that out and we describe our outlook for further investigations at the end of Chapter 4.

Three appendices supplement our analysis. Our notation and conventions are listed in Appendix A. The known results for mesons in the 't Hooft model and in strong coupling lattice QCD are rederived, in Appendix B and in Appendix C respectively, using the same methodology as followed in the thesis. That allows convenient comparison, as well as demonstrates the advantage of our approach over these two well-studied approximations to QCD.

Chapter 2

QCD at large N_c and strong transverse gauge coupling

2.1 Large-N_c limit

QCD is a non-abelian gauge theory based on the gauge group $SU(3)$. One would like to see all the qualitative and quantitative features of hadronic physics (confinement, chiral symmetry breaking, the hadron spectrum, form factors, partial decay widths, cross-sections, etc.) emerge from QCD. For most of these properties, the low momentum structure of Green's functions is important. But QCD is an asymptotically free theory, with the running gauge coupling increasing as the scale at which it is defined decreases. The low momentum behaviour of Green's functions, therefore, is governed by a theory with a large coupling, making ordinary perturbation theory a completely ineffective tool of computation.

In such a situation, one has to look for alternative approximation schemes. A promising approach is the $1/N_c$ expansion of a gauge theory based on the $SU(N_c)$ group. The subject of the large-N_c limit of $SU(N_c)$ gauge theories with quarks in the fundamental representation was initiated by 't Hooft [25], wherein he showed that $1/N_c$ can be treated as a small expansion parameter in these theories. The usual perturbative expansion reveals very little information about the spectrum of the theory, while the large-N_c limit retains many important non-perturbative aspects of the theory.

Witten [30] showed that many qualitative features of hadronic physics can be understood in the framework of the $1/N_c$ expansion. In particular, if one assumes confinement, the large-N_c limit produces a weakly interacting theory of an infinite number of mesons with coupling of the order of $1/N_c$. Baryons are solitons of the theory, with mass proportional to N_c, and with size and shape N_c-independent. Let us now have a quick look at some aspects of the large-N_c limit of QCD. This section is largely based on reviews by Coleman [11], Witten [30], Manohar [18] and Makeenko [17], and follows the power-counting conventions of Witten.

A sensible large-N_c limit of any quantity in QCD is obtained as follows:

- Generalize the colour gauge group from $SU(3)$ to $SU(N_c)$,

- holding g fixed, take large-N_c limit of each Feynman diagram contributing to that quantity, and sum the leading contributions to all orders in g.

The central idea of the large-N_c limit of QCD is that gluons have many more colour states than quarks ($N_c^2 - 1$ compared to N_c), and so quark radiative corrections are suppressed relative to gluonic radiative corrections when one holds g fixed. Also planar type of gluonic radiative corrections are more important (larger powers of N_c) than non-planar type. Thus the gluons effectively provide a mean field environment through which the quarks propagate.

In more concrete terms, the Lagrangian density for $SU(N_c)$ gauge field theory with quarks in the fundamental representation is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_a^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a + \overline{\Psi}_i \left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m \right]_{ij} \Psi_j \ ,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D^\mu \Psi \right)_i &= \partial^\mu \Psi_i - i \frac{g}{\sqrt{N_c}} \left(t_a \right)_{ij} A_a^\mu \Psi_j , \\ F_a^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^\mu A_a^\nu - \partial^\nu A_a^\mu + \frac{g}{\sqrt{N_c}} f_{abc} A_b^\mu A_c^\nu \ , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left[t_a, t_b \right]_- = i f_{abc} t_c \ , \ \mathrm{tr} \left(t_a t_b \right) = \delta_{ab} \ .$$

Repeated colour indices are summed here; see Appendix A for notations and conventions. We have left out gauge-fixing terms and renormalization counter-terms. Inclusion of these terms is trivial and does not alter the nature of qualitative results obtained in their absence. Holding g fixed and taking $N_c \to \infty$, one can show the following:

- The leading diagrams in the large-N_c limit are planar diagrams with quarks (if any) on boundaries.
  - If G_i represent local gauge invariant operators (which cannot be split further into smaller gauge invariant parts) made up exclusively of gauge fields, then the n-point Green's function, the connected n-point Green's function and the n-point 1PI vertex function are of order N_c^{2n}, N_c^2 and $N_c^{2(1-n)}$ respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle G_1 G_2 \ldots G_n \right\rangle &\propto N_c^{2n} \ , \\ \left\langle G_1 G_2 \ldots G_n \right\rangle_{\mathrm{conn}} &\propto N_c^2 \ , \\ \Gamma_{\{G\}}^{(n)} \left(1, 2, \ldots, n \right) &\propto N_c^{2(1-n)} \ . \end{aligned}$$

  - If B_i represent gauge invariant (local or non-local) quark bilinear operators (which cannot be split further into smaller gauge invariant parts), then the m-point Green's function, the connected m-point Green's function and the m-point

1PI vertex function are of order N_c^m, N_c and N_c^{1-m} respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle B_1 B_2 \ldots B_m \rangle &\propto N_c^m \ , \\ \langle B_1 B_2 \ldots B_m \rangle_{\text{conn}} &\propto N_c \ , \\ \Gamma^{(m)}_{\{B\}}(1,2,\ldots,m) &\propto N_c^{1-m} \ .\end{aligned}$$

  - In general, with n-gluonic and m-quark bilinear operators ($m > 0$), we have for the $(n+m)$-point Green's function, the connected $(n+m)$-point Green's function and the $(n+m)$-point 1PI vertex function,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle G_1 \ldots G_n B_1 \ldots B_m \rangle &\propto N_c^{2n+m} \ , \\ \langle G_1 \ldots G_n B_1 \ldots B_m \rangle_{\text{conn}} &\propto N_c \ , \\ \Gamma^{(n;m)}_{\{G;B\}}(1,\ldots,n;1,\ldots,m) &\propto N_c^{1-2n-m} \ .\end{aligned}$$

- The diagrams with minimum number of quark loops dominate; each internal quark loop is suppressed by a factor of $1/N_c$.

- Expansion in powers of $1/N_c$ becomes a topological expansion for the diagrams. Consider a general diagram contributing to the connected correlation function with n-gluonic and m-quark bilinear operators. Any such diagram can be associated with a 2-dim surface by associating colour index loops with faces of polygons, gluon propagators with edges where polygons touch each other and vertices with points where corners of different polygons fuse. The contribution of such a diagram is $O(N_c^{\chi})$, where χ is the topologically invariant Euler characteristic of the associated 2-dim surface. If the 2-dim surface has H handles and L boundaries, then $\chi = 2 - 2H - L$. (L is the sum of the number of internal virtual quark loops and the number of external boundaries in the diagram.) For a connected $(n+m)$-point correlation function, a maximum of m external boundaries are allowed. The leading contribution arises from "planar" diagrams which have genus $H = 0$, and contribution of diagrams with larger genus H or more boundaries L is suppressed.

- Distinction between gauge groups $SU(N_c)$ and $U(N_c)$ is immaterial at the leading order; they differ from each other by $O(1/N_c^2)$ terms.

It follows that in the large-N_c limit, all gauge invariant correlation factorize; the fluctuations are suppressed by powers of $1/N_c$ and vanish when $N_c = \infty$. This essentially means that the functional integral for the theory gets saturated by contribution from a single gauge orbit, known as the master orbit and represented by a set of gauge equivalent vector potentials. If one knows the master gauge field, then, in principle, one can compute the leading N_c contribution to any quantity. In this sense, the large-N_c limit is like a classical limit of the theory.

Assuming that large-N_c QCD is a confining theory, the following qualitative picture of glueballs and mesons emerges [30]:

- At $N_c = \infty$, glueballs (mesons) are free, stable and non-interacting. Glueball (meson) masses have smooth finite limits as $N_c \to \infty$, and the number of glueball (meson) states is infinite. Specifically, if G and B are gauge invariant field operators for a meson and a glueball respectively, with appropriate quantum numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\, 0 \mid G \mid \text{glueball}\,\rangle &\propto N_c\,, \\ \langle\, 0 \mid B \mid \text{meson}\,\rangle &\propto \sqrt{N_c}\,. \end{aligned}$$

- With $\Gamma^{(n)}_{\{G\}}(1,2,\ldots n) \propto N_c^{2(1-n)}$ and $\langle 0 \mid G \mid \text{glueball}\rangle \propto N_c$, it follows that the amplitude for a process involving n glueballs is proportional to N_c^{2-n}. In particular, the amplitude for a glueball decaying in to two other glueballs is $O\left(1/N_c\right)$, and glueball-glueball scattering amplitude is $O\left(1/N_c^2\right)$.

- With $\Gamma^{(m)}_{\{B\}}(1,2,\ldots m) \propto N_c^{1-m}$ and $\langle 0 \mid B \mid \text{meson}\rangle \propto \sqrt{N_c}$, it follows that the amplitude for a process involving m mesons is proportional to $N_c^{1-m/2}$. In particular, the amplitude for a meson decaying in to two mesons is $O\left(1/\sqrt{N_c}\right)$, and meson-meson scattering amplitude is $O\left(1/N_c\right)$.

- More generally, the amplitude for a process involving n glueballs and m mesons ($m > 0$) is of order $O\left(N_c^{1-n-m/2}\right)$. In particular, the amplitude for a glueball to mix with a meson is $O\left(1/\sqrt{N_c}\right)$, the amplitude for a glueball to decay in to two mesons is $O\left(1/N_c\right)$, the amplitude for a meson to decay in to two glueballs is $O\left(1/N_c^{3/2}\right)$, and the glueball-meson scattering amplitude is $O\left(1/N_c^2\right)$.

- Arbitrary n-point Green's functions and n-point scattering amplitudes are given by sums of tree diagrams with effective local vertices and propagators corresponding to exchange of physical hadrons (glueballs and mesons).

This qualitative picture agrees very well with observed phenomenology:

1. Suppression of the quark sea in hadronic physics and the fact that mesons are approximately pure $\overline{q}q$ states: In large-N_c limit quark loops are suppressed by factors of $1/N_c$, which is a consequence of the fact that there are $O\left(N_c^2\right)$ gluon degrees of freedom, but only $O\left(N_c\right)$ quark degrees of freedom. Thus, the quark sea is absent at $N_c = \infty$.

2. The absence, or at least suppression, of $\overline{qq}qq$ exotics: Mesons are non-interacting at $N_c = \infty$, which means that two mesons would not be bound together in to an exotic state. Presence of exotics would show up as simple poles in the connected Green's function $\langle E(x)E(y)\rangle_{\text{conn}}$, where $E(x) = \overline{q}_i q_i \overline{q}_j q_j(x)$. But to leading order in $1/N_c$, $\langle E(x)E(y)\rangle_{\text{conn}}$ factorizes to $\langle \overline{q}_i q_i(x) \overline{q}_k q_k(y)\rangle^2_{\text{conn}}$, and we have freely propagating non-interacting mesons instead of exotics.

3. Resonant two-particle final state domination (whenever kinematically allowed) of multi-particle decays of unstable mesons: It is observed that meson decays proceed mainly through resonant two-particle states. For example, $B(1237 \text{ MeV})$ decays to 4π, but mainly via $B \rightarrow \omega\pi$, with subsequent $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$. (It is generally believed that the tendency for decays to be two-particle dominated persists, even when the larger phase space of multi-particle decays is accounted for.) The explanation for this feature from the point of view of large-N_c limit is that the decay $B \rightarrow \omega\pi$ is $O\left(1/\sqrt{N_c}\right)$, while the direct, non-resonant decay $B \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi\pi$ is $O\left(1/N_c^{3/2}\right)$.

4. Zweig's rule: Constituent quark-antiquark production is suppressed compared to rearrangement of existing constituents inside hadrons. For example, the decay $\phi(\overline{s}s) \rightarrow K^+(\overline{s}u) + K^-(\overline{u}s)$ has considerably less phase space compared to $\phi(\overline{s}s) \rightarrow \pi^+(\overline{d}u) + \pi^0(\overline{u}u - \overline{d}d) + \pi^-(\overline{u}d)$. Nevertheless, $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ is the dominant decay mode. In large-N_c limit, creation/annihilation of every quark-antiquark pair gives a suppression factor of $1/N_c$. In ϕ-decay, there is an extra $1/\sqrt{N_c}$ suppression factor due to the fact that $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-$ is a three-particle decay while $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ is a two-particle decay. Overall, the $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-$ decay mode is suppressed relative to the K^+K^- decay mode by $1/N_c^{3/2}$.

5. Meson multiplet structure as nonets of flavour $SU(3)$: If u, d and s quark masses were equal, then there would be singlet-octet degeneracy for mesons to leading order in $1/N_c$. This is because the diagrams that split singlets from octets involve $\overline{q}q$ annihilation, and are of order $1/N_c$.

If one restricts QCD to two dimensions (see Appendix B), it is possible to study various dynamical features of the theory analytically (many of these features continue to hold good in four dimensions). But it is only the $N_c = \infty$ limit which has been solved in a closed form. 't Hooft [26] obtained an integral equation for the meson wavefunction in 2-dim $N_c = \infty$ QCD, with eigenstates corresponding to an infinite number of bound states. Subsequently, Callan, Coote and Gross [10], Einhorn [12], Brower et al. [4], Cardy [9], Einhorn et al. [13], and Shei and Tsao [24] further explored questions relating to quark confinement, hadron states, scattering amplitudes and high energy behaviour. The key features of this solution are:

- Choosing the light-front gauge $A^+ = A^0 + A^1 = 0$ eliminates the non-linear self-coupling of the gauge fields (gluon trilinear and quartic terms). This gauge is also free of ghosts.

- The dynamics of gauge fields (terms involving A^-) can be replaced by an instantaneous linearly confining potential between quark bilinears.

- The confining potential is defined using a principal value prescription, which keeps its Fourier transform infrared finite.

- To study mesons in the light-front gauge, one needs to sum only the planar "rainbow" and "ladder" diagrams.
- The choice of light-front coordinates together with the light-front gauge simplifies the Lorentz index structure of the theory, and eliminates the non-dynamical components in terms of the dynamical ones.
- The mass term in the quark propagator gets additively renormalized, while the gluon propagator and the quark-gluon vertex receive no corrections.
- The quark-antiquark scattering amplitude shows that there are no continuum states in the spectrum; only discrete meson bound states exist.
- The meson spectrum (masses and wavefunctions) is determined by the eigenvalues of an integral equation that characterizes the singularities of the quark-antiquark scattering amplitude. It lies on an approximately linear trajectory in the $M^2 - n$ plane, where n is the radial excitation quantum number.
- Spin does not exist in two dimensions, but parity is a good symmetry. The lightest meson has negative parity, and the subsequent meson states alternate in parity as a function of n.
- In the large-N_c limit, there is a non-zero chiral condensate, $\langle\overline{\Psi}\Psi\rangle \neq 0$, even in two dimensions.

Many of these features are explicitly described in Appendix B. Thus $(1+1)$-dim large-N_c QCD displays confinement and chiral symmetry breaking as anticipated in $(3+1)$-dim QCD, even though the origins of these features are quite different in the two theories. The ability to solve $(1+1)$-dim large-N_c QCD proves extremely useful, when attempting to solve $(3+1)$-dim QCD on a transverse lattice.

2.2 Strong coupling limit

QCD is an asymptotically free theory, so its running gauge coupling grows as its cut-off is lowered. Perturbative renormalization group evolution shows that the gauge coupling depends logarithmically on the cut-off, and diverges at a scale $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = O(\text{GeV})$. Strong coupling expansion, i.e. an expansion in inverse powers of the gauge coupling in the region where the cut-off is $O(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}})$, is yet another approximation scheme which elucidates some of the observed features of hadronic physics. Strong coupling methods are usually employed in the context of gauge theories formulated on a lattice. In the strong coupling region, one can show that quarks are confined, chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken, the lightest hadrons have the correct quantum numbers, and so on. These features are expected to survive in the weak coupling region (i.e. as one proceeds toward the continuum limit by increasing the cut-off), since there is no phase transition separating the two regions.

Let us illustrate the idea behind the strong coupling expansion in the context of pure gauge theory on a Euclidean 4-dim hypercubic lattice with spacing a (see for instance [21]). The fundamental variables of this theory are the parallel transporters $U\left(x,\mu\right)$, associated with the oriented link from site x to site $x+a\mu$ (see Appendix A for details of the notation). The Wilson action for this theory is defined in terms of the oriented plaquette variables $U_{x;\mu\nu}$ as

$$S_W = -\frac{N_c}{2g^2}\sum_{x;\mu\nu}\text{Re tr}\left[U_{x;\mu\nu}-1\right],$$

where the plaquette variables are defined in terms of the parallel transporters as

$$U_{x;\mu\nu} = U^\dagger(x,\mu)U^\dagger(x+a\mu,\nu)U(x+a\nu,\mu)U\left(x,\nu\right)$$

and $g \equiv g(a)$ is the lattice gauge coupling constant. The action S_W is often abbreviated as

$$S_W = -\frac{N_c}{2g^2}\sum_{p}\text{Re tr}\left[U_p-1\right],$$

where the sum includes every plaquette with both orientations. This is the simplest gauge invariant lattice action which reduces to the Yang-Mills action in the continuum limit $a \longrightarrow 0$.

To demonstrate confinement, one needs to show that it requires infinite energy to separate a quark-antiquark pair by an infinite distance. The expectation value of the Wilson loop, $W\left(\mathcal{C}\right) = \langle \text{tr}U\left(\mathcal{C}\right)\rangle$, probes the interaction potential between a static quark-antiquark pair. Consider $\mathcal{C}$ to be a large flat rectangular loop with sides Ra and Ta. In a linearly confining theory, $W\left(\mathcal{C}\right)$ obeys the area law

$$W\left(\mathcal{C}\right) \simeq \exp\left(-\sigma RTa^2\right),$$

with a non-zero string tension σ. If the theory does not confine, then the Wilson loop would follow a perimeter law

$$W\left(\mathcal{C}\right) \simeq \exp\left[-\mu\left(R+T\right)a\right].$$

At the leading order in the strong coupling expansion, one can easily show that Wilson loops obey area law as follows. The expectation value of the Wilson loop is

$$W\left(\mathcal{C}\right) = \frac{1}{Z'}\int\left[\prod_{x,\mu}dU(x,\mu)\right]\text{tr}\left(\text{P}\prod_{l\in\mathcal{C}}U(l)\right)\exp\left[\frac{N_c}{2g^2}\sum_p \text{tr}U_p\right],$$

where P denotes the orientation of loop C and

$$Z' = \int\left[\prod_{x,\mu}dU(x,\mu)\right]\exp\left[\frac{N_c}{2g^2}\sum_p \text{tr}U_p\right].$$

Expanding the exponential in a Taylor series, we get

$$\exp\left[\frac{N_c}{2g^2}\sum_p \mathrm{tr}U_p\right] = \sum_{m_1,m_2,\ldots} \frac{\left(N_c/2g^2\right)^{m_1+m_2+\cdots}}{m_1!m_2!\cdots} \left(\mathrm{tr}U_{p_1}\right)^{m_1} \left(\mathrm{tr}U_{p_2}\right)^{m_2}\cdots .$$

The group integration over $[dU(x,\mu)]$ produces a non-zero result only when all the factors of $U\,(x,\mu)$ for that link combine into a gauge singlet. The simplest instance is when one factor of U is combined with another factor of $U^\dagger$,

$$\int dU = 1\ , \qquad \int dU\ U_{ij}U^\dagger_{kl} = \frac{1}{N_c}\delta_{jk}\delta_{il}\ .$$

The leading contribution to $W\,(\mathcal{C})$ thus arises when the smallest number of plaquettes (with matching orientations) tile the loop $\mathcal{C}$. On explicitly carrying out the integration over the link variables, we get

$$W\,(\mathcal{C}) = N_c\left(\frac{1}{2g^2}\right)^{RT}.$$

This shows that at strong coupling Wilson loops obey area law with string tension

$$\sigma = \frac{\ln\left(2g^2\right)}{a^2}\ , \qquad \text{for } N_c \geq 3\ .$$

For $N_c = 2$, the orientation of the plaquette does not matter in group integration, and so each plaquette is associated with a factor of $1/g^2$. This yields the string tension

$$\sigma = \frac{\ln\left(g^2\right)}{a^2}\ , \qquad \text{for } N_c = 2\ .$$

Subleading corrections to this strong coupling limit are conveniently obtained by expanding the exponential of the gauge action in terms of the characters of the gauge group. Such character expansions effectively sum a subset of graphs, and considerably simplify the computation.

In contrast to the weak coupling expansions which are asymptotic in nature, strong coupling expansions have a non-zero radius of convergence. Within its domain of convergence, one can rigorously show:

- Static quark confinement: Wilson loops in the fundamental representation obey area law at strong coupling.

- Existence of a mass gap: The plaquette-plaquette correlation function decays exponentially at strong coupling.

- Possible phase transitions: Power series expansions (in inverse powers of the lattice gauge coupling) for quantities like internal energy, mass gap, string tension etc. can be used to locate phase transitions.

When dynamical quarks are added to the gauge theory, calculations get technically more involved. Firstly, it becomes difficult to carry out strong coupling expansions to high orders. Secondly, phenomena associated with chiral symmetry breaking can only be seen if the quark part of the theory is taken into account exactly. This is due to the fact that chiral symmetry breaking produces pions as pseudo-Goldstone bosons, which are very light for small bare quark masses and hence give rise to long distance correlations.

The results for the meson spectrum, at the leading order in strong coupling expansion, are described in Appendix C. They correspond to propagation of quark-antiquark pairs without any separation at all, and show that there is only one meson state for every spin-parity quantum number (i.e. all radial excitations are pushed away to infinite energy).

2.3 Combined large-N_c and strong transverse gauge coupling limits

We argued in Section 2.1 that large-N_c QCD in a good phenomenological approximation to many aspects of hadronic physics. This was deduced by power counting, combining the assumption of colour confinement for large-N_c QCD with the fact that at large N_c only planar diagrams with quarks on boundaries survive. In particular, to leading order in $1/N_c$, meson scattering amplitudes are given by sums of tree diagrams with exchange of physical mesons. The sum is always an infinite sum, because there are always an infinite number of mesons that could be exchanged in any given channel. This feature has a strong resemblance to the successful “Regge phenomenology” description of strong interactions. In Regge phenomenology, strong interactions are interpreted as an infinite sum of hadron-exchange tree diagrams, with the infinite number of hadrons lying on approximately linear trajectories in the $M^2 - J$ plane. Thus it seems likely that the large-N_c limit of QCD should be an important cornerstone in the eventual derivation of Regge phenomenology [30]. It is also believed that the straightness of Regge trajectories is related, by analyticity, to the narrowness of hadron resonances. If there is any approximation to QCD in which the trajectories are linear, this must be an approximation in which the resonances are narrow. Again it is an attractive feature of large-N_c expansion that the hadron resonances are narrow, with widths $O(1/N_c)$. This offers hope that at $N_c = \infty$ the Regge trajectories are linear.

With the above in mind, the problem that needs to be addressed is how to sum the planar diagrams of large-N_c QCD. In order to tackle a quantum field theory, with its continuously infinite number of degrees of freedom, one has to impose kinematical cut-offs (i.e. a suitable regularization procedure). The dynamics of the degrees of freedom eliminated by the cut-off is accounted for by

- renormalization of the existing couplings in the theory, and
- introduction of new counter-terms with cut-off dependent couplings, that are consistent with the symmetries of the theory left unbroken by the selected cut-off.

The renormalized couplings and the induced counter-terms remove the cut-off dependence from physical observables and help restore continuum physics. The number and symmetries of the counter-terms depend on the symmetry properties of the cut-off. For example, in the weak coupling perturbative treatment of gauge theories, if one adopts a cut-off which maintains manifest residual gauge invariance (some gauge choice is essential to make the perturbative scheme well defined; usually a Lorentz covariant gauge is chosen) and Lorentz invariance at all intermediate stages of the calculation, then the counter-terms also respect the very same symmetries.

In a confining theory such as QCD, gauge fields have large variations, and a non-perturbative cut-off should be such that it is manifestly gauge invariant. This criterion in the choice of cut-off is far more important than the criterion of manifest Lorentz invariance, because such a starting point is closer to the real world of strong interaction physics. (Of course, quantitative description of strong interaction physics will be attained only after taking in to account all the cut-off dependent counter-terms.) Such a cut-off is provided by formulating the gauge theory on a lattice. The discussion in Section 2.2 shows that for very coarse lattice spacing, the gauge theory produces linear confinement, i.e. large Wilson loops obey the area law. Numerical simulations for QCD, at different values of the lattice spacing, show that this behaviour persists all the way to very fine lattices with no phase transitions in the intervening region.

An extremely coarse 4-dim lattice cut-off, however, has the disadvantage of drastically breaking Poincaré invariance (actually 4-dim Euclidean invariance) all the way down to 4-dim hypercubic group. Though confinement is manifest, glueballs become infinitely massive and are pushed out of the spectrum. In the hadronic sector, only the lowest lying states survive in each quantum number sector. This is explicitly demonstrated in Appendix C, which analyses $SU(N_c \to \infty)$ lattice gauge theory at strong coupling with naive fermions in the fundamental representation. It has not been possible to perform analytical calculations on less coarse lattices; only numerical results have been obtained using powerful computers. Can we do something better without spoiling the analytical simplicity of working with a coarse lattice, and yet retain the higher energy excitations? Appendix B demonstrates that the 't Hooft model shares two key phenomenological features of strong interaction physics, namely, confinement and chiral symmetry breaking. Is there any way of incorporating these features in a systematic framework when studying $(3+1)$-dim QCD? The answer to these two questions leads us to formulating QCD on a transverse lattice.

To explicitly incorporate the 't Hooft model in the solution to large-N_c (3+1)-dim QCD, one must keep the temporal and one spatial dimension continuous. Crucial to the explicit solution of the 't Hooft model is the choice of the light-front $x^+ = (x^0 + x^1)/\sqrt{2} = 0$ as the quantization surface, and $P^- = (P^0 - P^1)/\sqrt{2}$ as the 'time' (x^+) evolution operator. Since $P^0 \geq |P^1|$, it follows that $P^\pm \geq 0$. On the light-front, the 'energy' dispersion relation for a free particle of mass m is given by

$$p^- = \frac{p_\perp^2 + m^2}{2p^+} ,$$

where p^+ is the longitudinal momentum and $p_\perp$ is the transverse momentum. Two important observations follow from this dispersion relation:

- $p^+ = 0$ states have infinite energy unless $p_\perp = 0$ and $m = 0$. These 'infrared' divergences associated with longitudinal zero modes are special to field theories analysed on the light-front. These are separate from and in addition to the infrared problems encountered in a covariant formulation. The infrared divergences of the latter type show up in the light-front formulation as divergences associated with small $p_\perp$ states.
- There are ultraviolet divergences associated with large $p_\perp$ states.

One needs to regulate these divergences before any concrete calculation is attempted. The large $p_\perp$ divergences can be regulated by imposing a lattice cut-off $a_\perp$ in the transverse dimensions. We will regulate the longitudinal infrared divergences by explicitly eliminating the longitudinal zero mode using the principal value prescription.

There are distinct advantages associated with this choice of cut-offs.

- The total longitudinal momentum P^+ of a state is just the sum of the longitudinal momenta of its constituents, $P^+ = \sum_i k_i^+$. It follows that the vacuum of the cut-off theory (for which $P^+ = 0$) contains no constituents, i.e. the vacuum is trivial.
- In the $A^+ = 0$ gauge, A^- is non-dynamical and plays the role of providing a linear confining interaction between quark-antiquark pairs in the longitudinal (x^-) direction. The infrared singularity of this confining potential is regularized by the principal value prescription.
- After fixing the $A^+ = 0$ gauge, one is still left with residual gauge degrees of freedom corresponding to x^--independent gauge transformations. These can be manifestly maintained on a transverse lattice.
- Working with a very coarse transverse lattice makes confinement in the transverse directions automatic. Wilson loops in the transverse plane then obey area law.

In our study of transverse lattice QCD, we restrict ourselves to the large-N_c and strong transverse gauge coupling limits [22]. This choice is dictated by the fact that only in these limits we can analytically solve the theory in a closed form. Consequently, our approach has following limitations:

- Although linear confinement is built in to the theory, the dynamical mechanism behind it is different in longitudinal and transverse directions. Confinement arises in the longitudinal direction because the Coulomb potential in $(1+1)$-dim is linear for any value of the gauge coupling, while it arises in the transverse direction because of the strong gauge coupling (i.e. completely disordered gluon fields). Thus perfect rotational symmetry is not expected in our results.

- Gluons have no transverse propagating degrees of freedom, so they can be completely integrated out of the theory. The glueballs are infinitely massive, just as in 4-dim strong coupling lattice QCD.
- The spectrum consists exclusively of towers of meson states, and the large-N_c limit brings out the constituent picture of mesons in an explicit way. But the large-N_c limit also makes the baryons infinitely heavy. Although the baryons can be brought back in to the theory as semi-classical soliton states, that requires another set of field theoretical techniques. The case of baryons is not dealt with in this thesis.
- Renormalization group scaling does not hold in the strong coupling lattice theory, and hence our results for dimensionless quantities are not expected to be the same as in the continuum theory. The scaling behaviour can be improved by calculating higher order terms in the strong coupling expansion or by adding counter-terms to the simplest lattice action. We have not done that, and so our results can only be viewed as a model of real QCD. We hope that by having two dimensions already in the continuum, our results would not be too far off the real world, and our model would have practical use.
- With the principal value prescription, the infrared cut-off introduced to eliminate the longitudinal momentum zero mode disappears from the final results. On the other hand, the transverse lattice ultraviolet cut-off that eliminates the transverse momentum modes with $|p_\perp| > \pi/a_\perp$ explicitly appears in the final results. So our results can be interpreted only in the sense of an effective theory, i.e. valid at a scale below the cut-off scale where the contribution of the neglected counter-terms is suppressed.
- Dynamical fermions on the lattice have the well-known conflict between chiral symmetry and species doubling. For computational convenience, we have studied fermion actions with only the nearest-neighbour hopping term. The two popular choices in this case are:
  - Naive fermions: These retain exact chiral symmetry, but lead to multiple species of fermions—one for every corner of the Brillouin zone. Exact spin-diagonalization converts naive fermions to staggered fermions and reduces the number of fermion species, but that cannot eliminate the doublers completely. In the large-N_c limit, however, fermion doubling is not a serious problem because the fermion determinant does not contribute.
  - Wilson fermions: These avoid fermion doubling, but explicitly break chiral symmetry. The "chiral limit" is defined as the location where pions become massless, but even at this location pions do not have all the properties of Goldstone bosons.

Despite these limitations, our approach is tailor-made for the study of deep inelastic hadronic physics. Experimental results for deep inelastic structure functions are expressed in terms of light-front variables, and their scaling behaviour implies that transverse dynamics contributes only at the subleading order. So even if the transverse directions are severely distorted using a coarse lattice, the leading scaling behaviour can remain largely undisturbed. Note that for any 2-point or 3-point Green's function (e.g. propagators and form factors), it is always possible to choose a reference frame where the transverse momenta of the external states vanish. We make this choice in our analysis to express our results in the continuum language in a straightforward manner.

2.4 $SU\left(N_c\right)$ transverse lattice gauge theory

Elements from both continuum gauge theory (Yang and Mills) and lattice gauge theory (Wilson) are needed in constructing transverse lattice gauge theory. Such a theory was first formulated by Bardeen and Pearson [1].

2.4.1 Basic gauge invariant quantities

We start by introducing pure $SU\left(N_c\right)$ lattice gauge theory on a transverse lattice in four dimensions. The underlying space-time is the direct product of two-dimensional Minkowski space and planar square lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (with lattice spacing $a_\perp$).

Let $C_{y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp}$ be a particular directed curve from $(x,x_\perp)$ to $(y,y_\perp)$, and let the parallel transporter for the gauge field along the curve C be $U\left(C_{y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp}\right) \in SU\left(N_c\right)$. Under a gauge transformation,

$$U\left(C_{y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp}\right) \rightarrow U'\left(C_{y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp}\right) = V\left(y,y_\perp\right) U\left(C_{y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp}\right) V^{-1}\left(x,x_\perp\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where $V\left(x,x_\perp\right), V\left(y,y_\perp\right)$ are arbitrary $SU\left(N_c\right)$ matrices. For an infinitesimal straight segment from $(x,x_\perp)$ to $(x+dx,x_\perp)$,

$$U\left(C_{x+dx,x_\perp;x,x_\perp}\right) = 1 - A_\mu\left(x,x_\perp\right) dx^\mu + \cdots, \tag{2.2}$$

where $A_\mu\left(x,x_\perp\right)$ is the continuum gauge field, i.e. an element of the Lie algebra of $SU\left(N_c\right)$. The gauge transformation rule for $A_\mu\left(x,x_\perp\right)$ follows from the gauge transformation rule for parallel transporters, eq.(2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(x,x_\perp\right) \rightarrow A'\left(x,x_\perp\right) &= V\left(x,x_\perp\right) A_\mu\left(x,x_\perp\right) V^{-1}\left(x,x_\perp\right) \\ &+ V\left(x,x_\perp\right) \cdot \partial_\mu V^{-1}\left(x,x_\perp\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Given the gauge field $A_\mu\left(x,x_\perp\right)$, the parallel transporters can be constructed as,

$$\begin{aligned} U(C) &= \mathcal{P}\exp\left\{-\int_0^1 A_\mu\left(c(t),x_\perp\right)\frac{dc^\mu}{dt}dt\right\} \\ &= \mathcal{P}\exp\left\{-\int_C A_\mu\left(x,x_\perp\right)dx^\mu\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $c^\mu(t)$ parametrizes the curve C with $c^\mu(0) = x^\mu$ and $c^\mu(1) = y^\mu$, and the symbol $\mathcal{P}$ denotes path ordering with respect to the variable t.

The simplest gauge invariant quantity one can construct using the parallel transporters is the trace of the parallel transporter around a closed curve, also known as the Wilson loop variable. For a closed curve C_o based at point $(x, x_\perp)$,

$$\mathrm{tr}\, U\left(C_o\right) = \mathrm{tr}\left[\mathcal{P}\exp\left\{-\int_{C_o} A^\mu\left(x, x_\perp\right) dx_\mu\right\}\right]. \tag{2.5}$$

When C_o is an infinitesimal rectangle based at $(x, x_\perp)$, with sides dx^μ and dy^μ,

$$\begin{aligned} U\left(C_o\right) &= U\left(C_{x,x_\perp;x+dy.x_\perp}\right) U\left(C_{x+dy,x_\perp;x+dx+dy,x_\perp}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot U\left(C_{x+dx+dy,x_\perp;x+dx,x_\perp}\right) U\left(C_{x+dx,x_\perp;xx_\perp}\right) \\ &= 1 - \left\{\partial_\mu A_\nu\left(x, x_\perp\right) - \partial_\nu A_\mu\left(x, x_\perp\right) + \left[A_\mu\left(x, x_\perp\right), A_\nu\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right]_-\right\} dx^\mu dy^\nu + \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

The quantity in the curly brackets in the last line of eq.(2.6) is the field strength of the continuum gauge field

$$F_{\mu\nu}\left(x, x_\perp\right) \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu\left(x, x_\perp\right) - \partial_\nu A_\mu\left(x, x_\perp\right) + \left[A_\mu\left(x, x_\perp\right), A_\nu\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right]_- . \tag{2.7}$$

It follows from eq.(2.1) and eq.(2.6) that under a gauge transformation the field strength transforms as

$$F_{\mu\nu}\left(x, x_\perp\right) \to F'_{\mu\nu}\left(x, x_\perp\right) = V\left(x, x_\perp\right) F_{\mu\nu}\left(x, x_\perp\right) V^{-1}\left(x, x_\perp\right). \tag{2.8}$$

So the simplest local gauge invariant quantity in the continuum is

$$\mathrm{tr}\left[F^{\mu\nu}\left(x, x_\perp\right) F^{\rho\sigma}\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right]. \tag{2.9}$$

Let us now consider the finite straight segment from $(x, x_\perp)$ to $(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n)$, and the corresponding parallel transporter $U\left(C_{x,x_\perp+a_\perp n;x,x_\perp}\right)$. We abbreviate this transverse link variable as $U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right)$. It gauge transforms as

$$U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) \to U'\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) = V\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right) U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) V^{-1}\left(x, x_\perp\right). \tag{2.10}$$

The parallel transporter around the smallest lattice loop (i.e. the elementary plaquette),

$$U_{mn}\left(x, x_\perp\right) \equiv U^\dagger(x, x_\perp, m) U^\dagger(x, x_\perp + a_\perp m, n) U(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n, m) U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right), \tag{2.11}$$

gauge transforms as

$$U_{mn}\left(x, x_\perp\right) \to U'_{mn}\left(x, x_\perp\right) = V\left(x, x_\perp\right) U_{mn}\left(x, x_\perp\right) V^{-1}\left(x, x_\perp\right). \tag{2.12}$$

So the simplest gauge invariant quantity one can construct on a planar square lattice is

$$\mathrm{tr}\left[U_{mn}\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right]. \tag{2.13}$$

In a transverse lattice space-time, we also need to consider "mixed" loops which traverse partly in the continuum and partly on the lattice. For a mixed loop C_n based at $(x, x_\perp)$, with sides dx and $a_\perp n$,

$$\begin{aligned} U\left(C_m\right) &= U^\dagger\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) U\left(C_{x,x_\perp+a_\perp n;x+dx,x_\perp+a_\perp n}\right) U\left(x+dx, x_\perp, n\right) U\left(C_{x+dx,x_\perp;x,x_\perp}\right) \\ &= 1 + U^\dagger\left(x; x_\perp, n\right)\left\{\partial_\rho U\left(x; x_\perp, n\right) + A_\rho\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right) U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right)\right. \qquad (2.14) \\ &\qquad \left. - U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) A_\rho\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right\} dx^\rho + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

The quantity in the curly brackets in the last line of eq.(2.14) is the mixed gauge covariant derivative of the transverse link variable

$$D_\mu U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) = \partial_\mu U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) + A_\mu\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right) U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) - U\left(x; x_\perp, n\right) A_\mu\left(x, x_\perp\right). \qquad (2.15)$$

From the gauge transformation properties of parallel transporters, eq.(2.3) and eq.(2.10), one finds that

$$D^\rho U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) \to V\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right) D^\rho U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) V^{-1}\left(x, x_\perp\right), \qquad (2.16)$$

and hence the simplest two-derivative gauge invariant quantity of "mixed" type is

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\left[D^\mu U\left(x; x_\perp, n\right)\right]^\dagger D^\nu U\left(x; x_\perp, n\right)\right). \qquad (2.17)$$

Now let us introduce fermions, in the fundamental representation of $SU\left(N_c\right)$, in to the theory. Under a gauge transformation $V\left(x, x_\perp\right)$, the fermion fields Ψ and $\overline{\Psi}$ transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right) &\to \Psi'\left(x, x_\perp\right) = V\left(x, x_\perp\right) \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right) \\ \overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right) &\to \overline{\Psi}'\left(x, x_\perp\right) = \overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right) V^{-1}\left(x, x_\perp\right). \end{aligned} \qquad (2.18)$$

The simplest gauge invariant fermion bilinear terms are therefore of the form

$$\overline{\Psi}\left(y, y_\perp\right) U\left(C_{y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp}\right) \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right). \qquad (2.19)$$

In a transverse lattice space-time, there are two distinct choices for the curve C in this term:

1. C is an infinitesimal segment from $(x - dx, x_\perp)$ to $(x, x_\perp)$. Expanding the fermion gauge invariant term, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right) U\left(C_{x,x_\perp;x-dx,x_\perp}\right) \Psi\left(x - dx, x_\perp\right) \\ =\ &\overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right)\left\{1 - A_\mu\left(x, x_\perp\right) dx^\mu\right\}\left\{\Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right) - \partial_\mu \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right) dx^\mu\right\} + \cdots \qquad (2.20) \\ =\ &\overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right) \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right) - \overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right)\left[\partial_\mu + A_\mu\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right] \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right) dx^\mu + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in last line of the above equation is the fermion mass term. In the second term, the combination $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + A_\mu$ is the gauge covariant derivative operator for fermions. Gauge covariant derivatives of the fermion field transform in a manner identical to the fermion field,

$$D_\mu \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right) \to D'_\mu \Psi'\left(x, x_\perp\right) = V\left(x, x_\perp\right) D_\mu \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right). \qquad (2.21)$$

2. C is the finite straight segment from $(x, x_\perp)$ to $(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n)$. The corresponding gauge invariant fermion bilinear term is the transverse hopping term,

$$\overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right) U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right). \tag{2.22}$$

In summary, the maximally localized gauge invariant terms involving the gauge fields $A_\mu\left(x, x_\perp\right)$, $U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right)$ and the fermion fields $\Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right)$, $\overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right)$ are:

- $\mathrm{tr}\left(F^{\mu\nu}(x, x_\perp) F^{\rho\sigma}(x, x_\perp)\right)$
- $\mathrm{tr}\left(\left[D_\mu U(x, x_\perp, n)\right]^\dagger D_\nu U(x, x_\perp, n)\right)$
- $\mathrm{tr}\left(U_{mn}(x, x_\perp)\right)$
- $\overline{\Psi}(x, x_\perp)\Psi(x, x_\perp)$
- $\overline{\Psi}(x, x_\perp)\left[D^\mu \Psi(x, x_\perp)\right]$
- $\overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right) U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right) \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right)$

2.4.2 Transverse lattice QCD action

Using the above terms, and demanding two-dimensional Poincare invariance as well as planar square lattice symmetry, we can write down a general action for the $SU\left(N_c\right)$ transverse lattice gauge theory:

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{N_c a_\perp^2}{4g^2} \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \mathrm{tr}\left(F^{\mu\nu}\left(x, x_\perp\right) F_{\mu\nu}\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right) \\
&+ \frac{N_c}{4g^2}\lambda_{cl} \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp, n} \mathrm{tr}\left(\left[D_\mu U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right)\right]^\dagger D^\mu U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right)\right) \\
&+ \frac{N_c}{2g^2 a_\perp^2}\lambda_{ll} \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp, m, n} \mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{tr}\left[U_{mn}\left(x, x_\perp\right) - 1\right] \\
&+ a_\perp^2 \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right)\left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m\right]\Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right) \\
&+ \frac{\kappa a_\perp}{2} \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp, n} \left[\overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right)\left(r - i\gamma^n\right) U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right)\Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right)\left(r + i\gamma^n\right) U^\dagger\left(x, x_\perp, n\right)\Psi\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Here we have adopted the following conventions:

- The normalizations for the gauge and the fermion fields are chosen such that each term in the action is proportional to N_c. With this choice, the large-N_c limit amounts to finding the stationary point of the action, while holding g fixed [11].

- Anisotropy parameters $\lambda_{cl}, \lambda_{ll}, \kappa$ have been introduced to take care of explicit breaking of rotational symmetry.
- The Wilson parameter r has been introduced in the fermion transverse hopping term. As $a_\perp \longrightarrow 0$, a non-zero value for r adds an irrelevant operator to the fermion Lagrangian, $a_\perp(D_n\overline{\Psi})(D^n\Psi)$. That removes the fermion doublers without changing the continuum limit, but breaks the chiral symmetry explicitly. As mentioned earlier, we study two special cases:
  - $r = 0$: Transverse lattice QCD with naive fermions.
  - $r = 1$: Transverse lattice QCD with Wilson fermions.

In order to reveal the connection of the above action with continuum 4-dim $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory, we take the naive continuum limit $a_\perp \longrightarrow 0$. The transverse link variable can be parametrized as

$$U(x, x_\perp, n) = \exp\left[-a_\perp A_n(x, x_\perp)\right] = 1 - a_\perp A_n(x, x_\perp) + \frac{a_\perp^2}{2} A_n^2(x, x_\perp) + \cdots \tag{2.24}$$

In the pure gauge field transverse lattice terms, using the forward lattice derivative

$$\Delta_n^f A_m(x, x_\perp) \equiv \frac{A_m(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n) - A_m(x, x_\perp)}{a_\perp}, \tag{2.25}$$

and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$\exp(x)\exp(y) = \exp\left(x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y]_- + \cdots\right), \tag{2.26}$$

one finds that

$$\begin{array}{c} D_\mu U(x, x_\perp, n) = -a_\perp\left\{F_{\mu n}(x, x_\perp)\right\} + O(a_\perp^2) \\ U_{mn}(x, x_\perp) = \exp\left\{-a_\perp^2 F_{mn}(x, x_\perp) + O(a_\perp^3)\right\} \end{array}, \tag{2.27}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{c} F_{\mu n}(x, x_\perp) = \partial_\mu A_n(x, x_\perp) - \Delta_n^f A_\mu(x, x_\perp) + \left[A_\mu(x, x_\perp), A_n(x, x_\perp)\right]_- \\ F_{mn}(x, x_\perp) = \Delta_m^f A_n(x, x_\perp) - \Delta_n^f A_m(x, x_\perp) + \left[A_m(x, x_\perp), A_n(x, x_\perp)\right]_- \end{array}. \tag{2.28}$$

Thus the leading terms, as $a_\perp \longrightarrow 0$, coincide with the continuum Yang-Mills action,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp, n} \mathrm{tr}\left(\left[D_\mu U(x, x_\perp, n)\right]^\dagger D^\mu U(x, , x_\perp, n)\right) \\ &= \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp, n} \left[a_\perp^2 \mathrm{tr}\left(F_{\mu n}(x, x_\perp) F^{\mu n}(x, x_\perp)\right) + O(a_\perp^3)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp, m, n} \mathrm{Re}\ \mathrm{tr}\left[U_{mn}(x, x_\perp) - 1\right] \\ &= \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp, m, n} \left[\frac{a_\perp^4}{2} \mathrm{tr}\left(F^{mn}(x, x_\perp) F_{mn}(x, x_\perp)\right) + O(a_\perp^5)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

In case of the fermionic transverse lattice terms, the $a_\perp \to 0$ limit yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp,n} a_\perp \left[\overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp+a_\perp n\right)\left(r-i\gamma^n\right)U\left(x,x_\perp,n\right)\Psi\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right. \\
& \qquad\qquad \left. +\overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left(r+i\gamma^n\right)U^\dagger\left(x,x_\perp,n\right)\Psi\left(x,x_\perp+a_\perp n\right)\right] \\
= & \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp,n} a_\perp^2 \left[\frac{i}{2}\left\{\overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\gamma^n\Delta_n^f\Psi\left(x,x_\perp\right)-\left(\Delta_n^f\overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right)\gamma^n\Psi\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \qquad\qquad \left. +i\,\overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\gamma^n A_n\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Psi\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right] \\
+ & \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp,n} a_\perp^3 \left[\frac{r}{a_\perp^2}\overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Psi\left(x,x_\perp\right)-\frac{r}{2}\left(\Delta_n^f\overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right)\left(\Delta_n^f\Psi\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right)\right]+O\left(a_\perp^4\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Thus the terms proportional to r shift the fermion mass by $2\kappa r/a_\perp$, and add an irrelevant operator to the fermion action, while the other terms agree with the continuum Dirac action.

2.4.3 Determination of parameters

The preceding analysis shows that in the continuum limit, all the anisotropy coefficients should tend to unity,

$$a_\perp \to 0: \quad \lambda_{cl},\ \lambda_{ll}, \kappa \to 1. \tag{2.32}$$

The transverse lattice action then reduces to the conventional continuum action

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= S_G+S_F \\
&\to \frac{N_c}{4g^2}\int d^2x \int d^2x_\perp \left[F^{\mu\nu}(x,x_\perp)F_{\mu\nu}(x,x_\perp)+F^{\mu n}(x,x_\perp)F_{\mu n}(x,x_\perp)\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. +F^{mn}(x,x_\perp)F_{mn}(x,x_\perp)\right] \\
&\quad +\int d^2x \int d^2x_\perp\, \overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left[i\gamma^\mu\left(\partial_\mu+A_\mu\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right)\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. +i\gamma^n\left(\partial_n+A_n\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right)-m\right]\Psi\left(x,x_\perp\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

In the quantum theory, the gauge coupling g gets converted to the QCD scale Λ_{QCD} by dimensional transmutation, while the quark mass m is not a physical observable. They have to be determined using experimentally observed hadronic properties as input.

When the transverse lattice cut-off is finite, quantum renormalization effects make the anisotropy coefficients different from unity, i.e. they become functions of $a_\perp$. For small values of $a_\perp$, these coefficients can be computed using perturbation theory, and they remain finite. In general, they must be determined non-perturbatively, by demanding that physical observables satisfy rotational symmetry as closely as possible. Convenient choices for enforcing rotational symmetry are isotropy of the energy-momentum dispersion relation, and degeneracy of multiple helicity states of hadrons with non-zero angular momentum.

$\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ and quark masses have to be determined from hadronic properties as usual, and so more physical conditions have to be imposed to fix all the parameters of the theory. For Wilson fermions in particular, m contains a power-law divergence as $a_\perp \to 0$, and therefore it always needs to be fixed using a non-perturbative criterion. The conventional choice is to define the "chiral limit" for Wilson fermions as the value of m (not necessarily zero) where the pseudoscalar meson mass vanishes.

The strong transverse gauge coupling limit can be viewed as the extreme case of anisotropic renormalization group evolution, where the transverse lattice cut-off scale is lowered as far as possible. In this limit $a_\perp$ is $O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD})$, and we expect the anisotropy coefficients for the gauge field terms ($\lambda_{cl}, \lambda_{ll}$) to vanish. We also do not expect any phase transition to take place during the renormalization group evolution from small $a_\perp$ to its largest value. These expectations are based on the non-perturbative behaviour of 4-dim lattice QCD, and are supported by leading order perturbative calculations [5] that show logarithmic behaviour for $\lambda_{cl}, \lambda_{ll}$. Thus the action we have studied in this thesis is

$$\begin{aligned} S_\perp &= \frac{N_c a_\perp^2}{4g^2} \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \mathrm{tr}\left(F^{\mu\nu}(x,x_\perp) F_{\mu\nu}(x,x_\perp)\right) \\ &+ a_\perp^2 \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp)\left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m\right]\Psi(x,x_\perp) \\ &+ \frac{\kappa a_\perp}{2} \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp,n} \left[\overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp + a_\perp n)(r - i\gamma^n) U(x,x_\perp,n)\Psi(x,x_\perp)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp)(r + i\gamma^n) U^\dagger(x,x_\perp,n)\Psi(x,x_\perp + a_\perp n)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Compared to the continuum action, eq.(2.33), this action has only one more parameter, i.e. κ. Since the powers of $a_\perp$ that accompany factors of g^2 and κ are obvious, in order to simplify expressions, we choose the units such that $a_\perp = 1$.

2.5 The generating functional

The hadronic physics is buried in various quark-antiquark correlations functions. As explained in previous sections, large-N_c and strong transverse gauge coupling expansions combine efficiently to produce reasonable mesonic structure in the leading order. This section is devoted to computing the generating functional of quark-antiquark correlation functions in these limits.

Since we are primarily interested in the quark-antiquark correlation functions, we add a suitable source term to the action, eq.(2.34),

$$I = S + \int d^2x d^2y \sum_{x_\perp, y_\perp} J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp; y,y_\perp)\, \overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x,x_\perp)\, \Psi_j^\beta(y,y_\perp). \tag{2.35}$$

The generating functional, $Z[J]$, is given by

$$Z[J] = \int [DA][DU]\left[D\Psi \cdot D\overline{\Psi}\right] \exp\left[iI + (\text{gauge terms})\right], \tag{2.36}$$

where “gauge terms” stand for the gauge fixing terms as well as the corresponding ghost determinant. The time-ordered quark-antiquark correlation functions can be obtained by taking functional derivatives of $W[J] \equiv -i \ln Z[J]$ with respect to J. For example,

$$\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}(x,x_\perp)\,\Psi_j^{\beta}(y,y_\perp)\right)\right\rangle = \left.\frac{\partial W[J]}{\partial J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp)}\right|_{J=0}, \tag{2.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}(x,x_\perp)\,\Psi_j^{\beta}(y,y_\perp)\,\overline{\Psi}_k^{\gamma}(z,z_\perp)\,\Psi_l^{\delta}(w,w_\perp)\right)\right\rangle \\ &-\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}(x,x_\perp)\,\Psi_j^{\beta}(y,y_\perp)\right)\right\rangle \left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_k^{\gamma}(z,z_\perp)\,\Psi_l^{\delta}(w,w_\perp)\right)\right\rangle \\ &= -i\left.\frac{\partial^2 W[J]}{\partial J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp)\,\partial J_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}(z,z_\perp;w,w_\perp)}\right|_{J=0}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

So if one is interested in computing the quark propagator and the quark-antiquark scattering amplitude, then $W[J]$ should be known to $O(J^2)$. Before presenting the technical details of the calculation, we outline the essential features of the computation of $W[J]$.

1. In the strong transverse gauge coupling limit, the dynamics of different $x_\perp$-hyperplanes does not completely decouple. Colour singlet hadron states can jump from one hyperplane to the next, and the fermion transverse hopping term (with coupling κ) continues to contribute in this limit.

2. We work in the light front gauge $A^+ = 0$. There are no ghost terms in this gauge. Also the functional integral over A^- becomes purely Gaussian, and can be evaluated exactly. This integration shows that the sole outcome of the light-front longitudinal gauge field is to mediate a linear Coulomb interaction between quarks and antiquarks, when they are propagating in a given hyperplane.

3. The integration over transverse gauge link variables can be performed exactly in the large-N_c limit. This results in non-local interactions between local fermion bilinears.

4. The fermionic functional integration is carried out in the large-N_c limit by rewriting the integral in terms of non-local bosonic variables. The large-N_c limit of the integral is then equivalent to the stationary point limit of the bosonized functional integral.

Now we demonstrate this calculation in detail. By scaling all fields by their canonical dimensions using the lattice spacing $a_\perp$, one can work exclusively with dimensionless quantities:

$$x'_\mu = x_\mu/a_\perp \qquad x'_\perp = x_\perp/a_\perp \tag{2.39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi'(x',x'_\perp) &= (a_\perp)^{\frac{3}{2}}\,\Psi(x,x_\perp) & \overline{\Psi}'(x',x'_\perp) &= (a_\perp)^{\frac{3}{2}}\,\overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp)\\ U'(x';x'_\perp,n) &= U(x;x_\perp,n) & A'_\mu(x',x'_\perp) &= a_\perp A_\mu(x,x_\perp)\end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

Henceforth we assume that this has been done, and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\perp} &= \frac{N_c}{4g^2}\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \mathrm{tr}\left[F^{\mu\nu}\left(x,x_\perp\right)F_{\mu\nu}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right] \\
&+ \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m\right]\Psi\left(x,x_\perp\right) \qquad (2.41)\\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{2}\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp,n}\Big[\overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp+n\right)\left(r-i\gamma^n\right)U\left(x,x_\perp,n\right)\Psi\left(x,x_\perp\right) \\
&\qquad +\overline{\Psi}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left(r+i\gamma^n\right)U^\dagger\left(x,x_\perp,n\right)\Psi\left(x,x_\perp+n\right)\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

The functional integral in the light-front gauge, $A^+=0$, is

$$\begin{aligned}
Z\left[J\right] &= \int\left[DA^-\right]\left[DU\right]\left[D\Psi\cdot D\overline{\Psi}\right] \\
&\times \exp\Bigg[i\int d^2x\sum_{x_\perp}\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_j^\beta\left(x,x_\perp\right) \\
&\qquad +i\int d^2xd^2y\sum_{x_\perp,y_\perp}\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha\left(x,x_\perp\right)J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\Psi_j^\beta\left(y,y_\perp\right)\Bigg] \qquad (2.42)\\
&\times \exp\Bigg[\frac{i\kappa}{2}\int d^2x\sum_{x_\perp,n}\Big\{\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha\left(x,x_\perp+n_\perp\right)\left(r-i\gamma^n\right)^{\alpha\beta}U_{ij}\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)\Psi_j^\beta\left(x,x_\perp\right) \\
&\qquad +\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left(r+i\gamma^n\right)^{\alpha\beta}U_{ij}^\dagger\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)\Psi_j^\beta\left(x,x_\perp+n_\perp\right)\Big\}\Bigg] \\
&\times \exp\Bigg[-\frac{1}{2}\int d^2x\sum_{x_\perp}A_{ij}^-\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left(-\frac{iN_c}{g^2}\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}\partial_-^2A_{kl}^-\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right) \\
&\qquad -\int d^2x\sum_{x_\perp}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i\left(x,x_\perp\right)\gamma^+\Psi_j\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right)A_{ij}^-\left(xx_\perp\right)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}$$

The Gaussian functional integral over the A^- variables produces a non-local interaction term, which is quadratic in fermion bilinears (we suppress the overall normalization constant that does not affect expectation values).

$$\begin{aligned}
Z\left[J\right] &= \int\left[DU\right]\left[D\Psi\cdot D\overline{\Psi}\right] \\
&\times \exp\Bigg[i\int d^2x\sum_{x_\perp}\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_j^\beta\left(x,x_\perp\right) \\
&\qquad +i\int d^2xd^2y\sum_{x_\perp,y_\perp}\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha\left(x,x_\perp\right)J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\Psi_j^\beta\left(y,y_\perp\right)\Bigg] \\
&\times \exp\Bigg[-\frac{ig^2}{2N_c}\int d^2xd^2y\sum_{x_\perp,y_\perp}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\beta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\delta}\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}h\left(x-y\right) \qquad (2.43)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot\, \overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Psi_i^{\delta}\left(y,y_\perp\right)\overline{\Psi}_j^{\gamma}\left(y,y_\perp\right)\Psi_j^{\beta}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Bigg] \\
\times \quad & \exp\left[\frac{i\kappa}{2}\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp,n}\Big\{\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}\left(x,x_\perp+n\right)\left(r-i\gamma^n\right)^{\alpha\beta}U_{ij}\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)\Psi_j^{\beta}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right. \\
& \left. +\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left(r+i\gamma^n\right)^{\alpha\beta}U_{ij}^{\dagger}\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)\Psi_j^{\beta}\left(x,x_\perp+n\right)\Big\}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h(x-y)$ satisfies $\partial_-^2 h(x-y)=\delta^{(2)}(x-y)$. The next step is to carry out the functional integral over the U variables. In the large-N_c limit [2] [16],

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z\left[J\right] \quad = \quad & \int\left[D\Psi\cdot D\overline{\Psi}\right] \\
\times \quad & \exp\left[i\int d^2x\sum_{x_\perp}\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}-m\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_j^{\beta}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\right. \\
& \left. +i\int d^2xd^2y\sum_{x_\perp,y_\perp}\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}\left(x,x_\perp\right)J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\Psi_j^{\beta}\left(y,y_\perp\right)\right] \\
\times \quad & \exp\Bigg[-\frac{ig^2}{2N_c}\int d^2xd^2y\sum_{x_\perp,y_\perp}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\beta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\delta}\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}h\left(x-y\right) \qquad (2.44) \\
& \cdot\, \overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Psi_i^{\delta}\left(y,y_\perp\right)\overline{\Psi}_j^{\gamma}\left(y,y_\perp\right)\Psi_j^{\beta}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Bigg] \\
\times \quad & \exp\Bigg[-N_c\int d^2x\sum_{x_\perp,n}\mathrm{tr_D}\Bigg\{\sqrt{1+\frac{\kappa^2}{N_c^2}B\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)}-1 \\
& -\ln\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+\frac{\kappa^2}{N_c^2}B\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)}\right)\Bigg\}\Bigg],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)$ is a matrix in Dirac space with matrix elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{\alpha\beta}\left(x;x_\perp,n\right) \quad = \quad & -\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}\left(x,x_\perp+n\right)\Psi_i^{\delta}\left(x,x_\perp+n\right)\left(r+i\gamma_n^T\right)^{\delta\gamma} \\
& \cdot\, \overline{\Psi}_j^{\gamma}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Psi_j^{\tau}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\left(r-i\gamma_n^T\right)^{\tau\beta} \qquad (2.45)
\end{aligned}
$$

To perform the functional integral over the fermion variables, we use a simple trick (see Appendix B, eq.(B.8)). We first introduce auxiliary non-local bosonic variables to rewrite the four-fermion interaction term in terms of fermion bilinears, and then integrate out the fermion variables.

$$
Z\left[J\right]=\int\left[D\sigma\cdot D\lambda\right]\exp\left[iV\left(\sigma,\lambda;J\right)\right], \qquad (2.46)
$$

where

$$
\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp)=\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}(x,x_\perp)\Psi_i^{\beta}(y,y_\perp)\ . \qquad (2.47)
$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&V\left[\sigma,\lambda;J\right]=-f_1\left[\sigma\right]+if_2\left[\sigma\right]-i\int d^2x\ \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\ln\left[-i\lambda(x,x_\perp;x,x_\perp)\right]\Big)\\
&+\int d^2xd^2y\Big[\lambda_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\\
&+\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\Big(\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\delta^2\left(x-y\right)+J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\Big)\Big],
\end{aligned}\tag{2.48}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1\left[\sigma\right] &= \frac{g^2}{2N_c}\int d^2xd^2y\sum_{x_\perp,y_\perp}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\beta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\delta}\\
&\quad\cdot\ \delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}h\left(x-y\right)\sigma_{ii}^{\alpha\delta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\sigma_{jj}^{\gamma\beta}\left(y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp\right)\ , \qquad (2.49)\\
f_2\left[\sigma\right] &= N_c\int d^2x\sum_{x_\perp,n}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{D}}\Big(\tilde{f}_2\left[B\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)\right]\Big), \qquad (2.50)\\
\tilde{f}_2\left[B\right] &= \sqrt{1+\frac{\kappa^2}{N_c^2}B}-1-\ln\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+\frac{\kappa^2}{N_c^2}B}\right). \qquad (2.51)
\end{aligned}$$

The matrix elements of B expressed in terms of σ are,

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{\alpha\beta}\left(x;x_\perp,n\right) &= -\sigma_{ii}^{\alpha\delta}\left(x,x_\perp+n;x,x_\perp+n\right)\left(r+i\gamma_n^T\right)^{\delta\gamma}\\
&\quad\cdot\ \sigma_{jj}^{\gamma\tau}\left(x,x_\perp;x,x_\perp\right)\left(r-i\gamma_n^T\right)^{\tau\beta}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.52}$$

In the large-N_c limit, $V\left[\sigma,\lambda;J\right]$ is of $O\left(N_c\right)$. Therefore, the $N_c\to\infty$ limit amounts to evaluating the functional integral at its stationary point. The stationary point $(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda})$ is determined by

$$\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial\lambda_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)}\right|_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda}}=-i\left(\overline{\lambda}^{-1}\right)_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}\left(y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp\right)+\overline{\sigma}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)=0\ , \tag{2.53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)}\right|_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda}}=\overline{\lambda}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)+\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu-m\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\delta^2\left(x-y\right)\\
&\quad+J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)-\frac{g^2}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta}h(x-y)\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\overline{\sigma}_{kk}^{\gamma\delta}\left(y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp\right)\\
&\quad-iN_c\delta_{ij}\delta^2\left(x-y\right)\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\\
&\quad\cdot\sum_n\Big\{\left(r+i\gamma_n^T\right)\overline{\sigma}_{kk}\left(x,x_\perp-n;x,x_\perp-n\right)\left(r-i\gamma_n^T\right)\tilde{f}_2'\left[B\left(x;x_\perp-n,n\right)\right]\\
&\quad+\left(r-i\gamma_n^T\right)\tilde{f}_2'\left[B\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)\right]\overline{\sigma}_{kk}\left(x,x_\perp+n;x,x_\perp+n\right)\left(r+i\gamma_n^T\right)\Big\}^{\beta\alpha}=0\ ,
\end{aligned}\tag{2.54}$$

where

$$\left(\tilde{f}_2'\left[B\right]\right)^{\beta\alpha} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathrm{tr_D} \tilde{f}_2\left[B\right]}{\partial B^{\alpha\beta}} = \left[\frac{\kappa^2}{2N_c^2\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{\kappa^2}{N_c^2}B}\right)}\right]^{\beta\alpha} . \tag{2.55}$$

The first stationary point equation (2.53) is trivially solved by

$$\overline{\lambda}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right) = i\left(\overline{\sigma}^{-1}\right)_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}\left(y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp\right) . \tag{2.56}$$

Substituting this in the second stationary point equation (2.54), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\left(\overline{\sigma}^{-1}\right)_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}\left(y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp\right) + \delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\delta^2\left(x-y\right) \\
& + J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right) - \frac{g^2}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta}h(x-y)\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\overline{\sigma}_{kk}^{\gamma\delta}\left(y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp\right) \\
& - iN_c\delta_{ij}\delta^2\left(x-y\right)\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp} \qquad\qquad (2.57) \\
& \cdot \sum_n \Big\{\left(r+i\gamma_n^T\right)\overline{\sigma}_{kk}\left(x,x_\perp-n;x,x_\perp-n\right)\left(r-i\gamma_n^T\right)\tilde{f}_2'\left[B\left(x;x_\perp-n,n\right)\right] \\
& \qquad + \left(r-i\gamma_n^T\right)\tilde{f}_2'\left[B\left(x;x_\perp,n\right)\right]\overline{\sigma}_{kk}\left(x,x_\perp+n;x,x_\perp+n\right)\left(r+i\gamma_n^T\right)\Big\}^{\beta\alpha} = 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

Solution of this equation will yield $\overline{\sigma}$ as a function of J. The large-N_c generating functional can then be succinctly written as

$$Z\left[J\right] = \exp\left[iV\left(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda};J\right)\right] \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad W\left[J\right] = V\left(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda};J\right) \equiv V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right) , \tag{2.58}$$

where $V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right)$ is obtained by substituting $\overline{\lambda}$ in terms of $\overline{\sigma}$. Modulo J-independent terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right) &= -f_1\left[\overline{\sigma}\right] + if_2\left[\overline{\sigma}\right] + i\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp}\left[\mathrm{Tr}\left(\ln\overline{\sigma}\right)\left(x,x_\perp;x,x_\perp\right)\right] \qquad (2.59) \\
&+ \int d^2x d^2y \sum_{x_\perp y_\perp}\overline{\sigma}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\left[\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\delta^2\left(x-y\right) + J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}$$

The generator of 1PI vertex functions $\Gamma\left[\varphi\right]$ (also known as the effective action) is the Legendre transform of $W\left[J\right]$. Let the effective field φ be the variable conjugate to the external source J. Then

$$\varphi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right) = \frac{\delta W\left[J\right]}{\delta J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)} , \tag{2.60}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left[\varphi\right] &= W\left[J\right] - \int d^2x d^2y \sum_{x_\perp,y_\perp}\varphi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right) \\
&= -f_1\left[\varphi\right] + if_2\left[\varphi\right] + i\int d^2x\sum_{x_\perp}\left[\mathrm{Tr}\left(\ln\varphi\right)\left(x,x_\perp;x,x_\perp\right)\right] \qquad (2.61) \\
&+ \int d^2x d^2y \sum_{x_\perp y_\perp}\varphi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\left[\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\delta^2\left(x-y\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}$$

In the large-N_c limit, $\varphi = \overline{\sigma} + \mathrm{O}\left(1/N_c\right)$. It is also easy to show that

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma\left[\varphi\right]}{\delta\varphi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp)} = -J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp)\ , \tag{2.62}$$

$$\left[W^{(2)}\right]_{ij;kl}^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}\left(xx_\perp,yy_\perp;zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right) = -\left[\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]_{ij;kl}^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}\left(xx_\perp,yy_\perp;zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right)\ , \tag{2.63}$$

where we have used the abbreviations,

$$\frac{\delta^2 W\left[J\right]}{\delta J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp)\delta J_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}(z,z_\perp;w,w_\perp)} \equiv \left[W^{(2)}\right]_{ij;kl}^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}\left(xx_\perp,yy_\perp;zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right)\ , \tag{2.64}$$

$$\frac{\delta^2\Gamma\left[\varphi\right]}{\delta\varphi_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}(z,z_\perp;w,w_\perp)\delta\varphi_{mn}^{\xi\eta}(u,u_\perp;v,v_\perp)} \equiv \left[\Gamma^{(2)}\right]_{kl;mn}^{\gamma\delta;\xi\eta}\left(zz_\perp,ww_\perp;uu_\perp,vv_\perp\right)\ . \tag{2.65}$$

Chapter 3

The Chiral Condensate

3.1 Chiral symmetry

Consider the transverse lattice action for free naive fermions

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NF}^{\text{free}} &= a_\perp^2 \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right)\left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m\right]\Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right) \\ &+ \frac{\kappa a_\perp}{2} \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp, n} \left[-i\overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right)\gamma^n \Psi\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + i\overline{\Psi}\left(x, x_\perp\right)\gamma^n\Psi\left(x, x_\perp + a_\perp n\right)\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

On-shell fermion modes of this action satisfy the dispersion relation

$$E\left(p^1, p_\perp\right) = \pm\left[\left(p^1\right)^2 + \sum_n \frac{\kappa^2}{a_\perp^2}\sin^2\left(p_\perp \cdot n a_\perp\right) + m^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} , \tag{3.2}$$

where E and $(p^1, p_\perp)$ refer to the energy and three-momentum respectively. Since the transverse momenta p^n range over the Brillouin zone, $-\frac{\pi}{a_\perp} < p^n \leq \frac{\pi}{a_\perp}$, it follows that

$$E\left(p^1, p_\perp\right) = E\left(p^1, p_\perp + q_\perp^\pi\right) , \tag{3.3}$$

where $q_\perp^\pi$ is one of the four transverse momentum vectors

$$q_\perp^\pi = \left\{(0,0), \left(\frac{\pi}{a_\perp}, 0\right), \left(0, \frac{\pi}{a_\perp}\right), \left(\frac{\pi}{a_\perp}, \frac{\pi}{a_\perp}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.4}$$

The consequence of (3.3) is that in the naive transverse lattice fermions represent four fermion states per field component. This is the generic "fermion doubling" phenomenon on the lattice. In order to get a single fermion state per field component, one can add the irrelevant Wilson term to the naive fermion action (cf.(2.23)),

$$\begin{aligned} S_{WF}^{\text{free}} &= a_\perp^2 \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp)\left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m\right]\Psi(x,x_\perp) \\ &+ \frac{\kappa a_\perp}{2}\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp,n}\left[\overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp+a_\perp n)(r-i\gamma^n)\Psi(x,x_\perp)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. +\overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp)(r+i\gamma^n)\Psi(x,x_\perp+a_\perp n)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

With the r-dependent terms, the dispersion relation for on-shell fermion modes becomes,

$$E\left(p^1,p_\perp\right) = \pm\left[\left(p^1\right)^2 + \sum_n \frac{\kappa^2}{a_\perp^2}\sin^2\left[p_\perp\cdot n a_\perp\right] + \left(m - \frac{\kappa r}{a_\perp}\sum_n \cos\left[p_\perp\cdot n a_\perp\right]\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.6}$$

This result shows that the $p_\perp = 0$ mode at the centre of the Brillouin zone is massless at $m = 2\kappa r a_\perp^{-1}$. Moreover, compared to this mode, the other $p_\perp = q_\perp^\pi$ modes get an additive contribution $n_\pi 2\kappa r a_\perp^{-1}$ to their masses, where n_π is the number of components of $q_\perp^\pi$ equal to $\pi/a_\perp$. The modes with $n_\pi \neq 0$ thus become infinitely heavy in the $a_\perp \to 0$ limit, leaving behind only one physical mode with finite energy at $p_\perp = 0$. The usefulness of Wilson fermions comes to fore when there are interactions between fermions. In an interacting theory, the fermion doublers, if not eliminated, can affect the physical content of the theory in a non-trivial way.

Returning to the case of naive lattice fermions, one can show that their group of chiral symmetries is considerably larger than that of the continuum theory. Let us find the symmetry groups of individual terms in the naive fermion transverse lattice QCD action. In terms of variables scaled using the lattice spacing $a_\perp$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NF} &= \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp)\left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m\right]\Psi(x,x_\perp) \\ &+ \frac{\kappa}{2}\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp,n}\left[-i\overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp+n)\gamma^n U(x,x_\perp,n)\Psi(x,x_\perp)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. +i\overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp)\gamma^n U^\dagger(x,x_\perp,n)\Psi(x,x_\perp+n)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

With $x_\perp \equiv (x^2,x^3)$, let us define new spinor variables $\chi(x,x_\perp)$ and $\overline{\chi}(x,x_\perp)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x,x_\perp) &= \left(\gamma^2\right)^{x^2}\left(\gamma^3\right)^{x^3}\chi(x,x_\perp)\ , \\ \overline{\Psi}(x,x_\perp) &= \overline{\chi}(x,x_\perp)\left(\gamma^3\right)^{x^3}\left(\gamma^2\right)^{x^2}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

In terms of these new variables, the action takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NF} &= \int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp} \overline{\chi}(x,x_\perp)\left[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - (-1)^{x^2+x^3} m\right]\chi(x,x_\perp) \\ &+ \frac{\kappa}{2}\int d^2x \sum_{x_\perp,n}\Delta_n(x_\perp)\left[-i\overline{\chi}(x,x_\perp+n)U(x,x_\perp,n)\chi(x,x_\perp)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. +i\overline{\chi}(x,x_\perp)U^\dagger(x,x_\perp,n)\chi(x,x_\perp+n)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\Delta_n\left(x_\perp\right) \equiv \Delta_n\left(x^2, x^3\right) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{1+x^2+x^3} & : n = 2\;, \\ (-1)^{1+x^3} & : n = 3\;. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

In this form, the naive fermion transverse lattice QCD action has been spin-diagonalized in the transverse spin space. Let a lattice site be defined as odd (even), if $x^2 + x^3$ is odd (even). The fermion transverse hopping term has an exact global symmetry $U_{\mathrm{o}}(4) \otimes U_{\mathrm{e}}(4)$, defined by the transformations

$$\left.\begin{array}{lcl} \chi\left(x, x_\perp\right) & \rightarrow & \chi'\left(x, x_\perp\right) = U_{\mathrm{o(e)}}\chi\left(x, x_\perp\right) \\ \overline{\chi}\left(x, x_\perp\right) & \rightarrow & \overline{\chi}'\left(x, x_\perp\right) = \overline{\chi}\left(x, x_\perp\right) U^\dagger_{\mathrm{e(o)}} \end{array}\right\} \quad \text{for } x_\perp \text{ odd (even).} \tag{3.11}$$

The fermion mass term is invariant only under the diagonal subgroup $U_\Delta(4)$ of $U_{\mathrm{o}}(4)\otimes U_{\mathrm{e}}(4)$, given by $U_{\mathrm{o}} = U_{\mathrm{e}}$. To see the symmetry group of the continuum fermion kinetic term, we choose a particular gamma matrix representation:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^1 \end{pmatrix},\ \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix},\ \gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma^3 \\ i\sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ \gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ -\sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.12}$$

We also decompose the fermion spinors in two component notation:

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_P \\ \Psi_Q \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \overline{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Psi}_P & \overline{\Psi}_Q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.13}$$

In terms of 2-dim spinors Ψ_P and Ψ_Q, the naive fermion transverse lattice QCD action is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NF} \;=\; & \int d^2x \sum_{\lambda, x_\perp} \overline{\Psi}_\lambda\left(x, x_\perp\right)\left[i\left(\sigma^1 D_0 + i\sigma^2 D_1\right) - (-1)^{x^2+x^3} m\right]\Psi_\lambda\left(x, x_\perp\right) \\ +\; & \frac{\kappa}{2}\int d^2x \sum_{\lambda, x_\perp, n} \Delta_n\left(x_\perp\right)\left[-i\overline{\Psi}_\lambda\left(x, x_\perp + n\right) U\left(x, x_\perp, n\right)\Psi_\lambda\left(x, x_\perp\right)\right. \\ & \qquad\qquad \left. + i\overline{\Psi}_\lambda\left(x, x_\perp\right) U^\dagger\left(x, x_\perp, n\right)\Psi_\lambda\left(x, x_\perp + n\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where the index λ takes two values, P and Q. It is now easy to see that the continuum fermion kinetic term is invariant under the $U_L(2)\otimes U_R(2)$ transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\lambda &\rightarrow \left[\exp\left(i\theta_V^k \cdot \tau^k \otimes 1 + i\theta_A^k \cdot \tau^k \otimes \sigma^3(-1)^{x_2+x_3}\right)\right]_{\lambda\lambda'}\Psi_{\lambda'}, \\ \overline{\Psi}_\lambda &\rightarrow \overline{\Psi}_{\lambda'}\left[\exp\left(-i\theta_V^k \cdot \tau^k \otimes 1 + i\theta_A^k \cdot \tau^k \otimes \sigma^3(-1)^{x_2+x_3}\right)\right]_{\lambda'\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where τ^k $(k = 0, 1, 2, , 3)$ generate the Lie algebra of the group $U(2)$ acting on index λ.

In summary, the symmetries of individual terms in the naive fermion transverse lattice QCD action are:

- Fermion transverse hopping term (with coefficient κ): $U_{\mathrm{o}}\left(4\right)\otimes U_{\mathrm{e}}\left(4\right)$.
- Fermion mass term (with coefficient m): $U_\Delta(4)$.

- Continuum fermion kinetic term: $U_L(2) \otimes U_R(2)$.

When $m = 0$, the combined chiral symmetry of the action is $U_L(2) \otimes U_R(2)$. When $m \neq 0$, only the diagonal part of $U_L(2) \otimes U_R(2)$, i.e. $U_V(2)$, remains an exact symmetry. The non-diagonal part of the symmetry is broken explicitly by the mass term, and spontaneously by the strong interactions (as we will show in the following sections).

Eq.(3.15) also demonstrates that the doubling problem for naive fermions can be made less severe by dropping the sum over λ. This gives the staggered fermion transverse lattice QCD action, which has two component spinors, and which gives rise to two degenerate fermion flavours in the continuum limit.

3.2 Quark propagator

The quark propagator is obtained from the generating functional of connected quark-antiquark correlation functions using

$$\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}(x,x_\perp)\,\Psi_j^{\beta}(y,y_\perp)\right)\right\rangle = \left.\frac{\partial W[J]}{\partial J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp)}\right|_{J=0} \tag{3.16}$$

where $W[J] = V_{eff}(\overline{\sigma};J)$ is given by eq.(2.59). In terms of the solution to the stationary point equation (2.57),

$$\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}(x,x_\perp)\,\Psi_j^{\beta}(y,y_\perp)\right)\right\rangle = (\overline{\sigma}_0)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp)\ , \tag{3.17}$$

with $\overline{\sigma}_0 \equiv \overline{\sigma}(J=0)$. Setting $J=0$ in the stationary point equation (2.57), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\left(\overline{\sigma}_0^{-1}\right)_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}(y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp) + \delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\delta^{(2)}(x-y) \\
& -\frac{g^2}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta}h(x-y)\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}(\overline{\sigma}_0)_{kk}^{\gamma\delta}(y,y_\perp;x,x_\perp) \\
& -iN_c\delta_{ij}\delta^{(2)}(x-y)\,\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp} \\
& \cdot\sum_n\Big\{\left(r+i\gamma_n^T\right)(\overline{\sigma}_0)_{kk}(x,x_\perp-n;x,x_\perp-n)\left(r-i\gamma_n^T\right)\tilde{f}_2'\left[\bar{B}_0(x;x_\perp-n,n)\right] \\
& \quad + \left(r-i\gamma_n^T\right)\tilde{f}_2'\left[\bar{B}_0(x;x_\perp,n)\right](\overline{\sigma}_0)_{kk}(x,x_\perp+n;x,x_\perp+n)\left(r+i\gamma_n^T\right)\Big\}^{\beta\alpha} = 0\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where $\bar{B}_0 \equiv B(\overline{\sigma}_0)$. We expect $\overline{\sigma}_0$ to obey $(1+1)$-dim Poincaré invariance and lattice translational invariance, and so we parametrize it as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\overline{\sigma}_0)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp) &= -i\int\frac{d^2p\,d^2p_\perp}{(2\pi)^4}\,e^{-ip\cdot(y-x)+ip_\perp\cdot(y_\perp-x_\perp)} \\
&\quad \cdot\,\delta_{ji}\left[\frac{1}{\not{p} - m - \Sigma(p,p_\perp) + i\epsilon}\right]^{\beta\alpha} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

In the coincidence limit $x \to y$, the quark propagator is then proportional to the identity matrix,

$$(\overline{\sigma}_0)^{\alpha\beta}_{ii}(x, x_\perp; x, x_\perp) = N_c \tilde{\sigma}_0 \delta^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{3.20}$$

This coincidence limit is singular, and must be regulated. The regulator must be gauge invariant, and preferably also mass independent. A reasonable choice is the split-point regularization used in studying the chiral condensate in the 't Hooft model [7].

Assuming that $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ has been properly regulated, we substitute (3.20) in (2.52) and (2.55), and obtain

$$\bar{B}_0(x; x_\perp, n) = N_c^2 \tilde{\sigma}_0^2 \left(1 - r^2\right) 1_{\rm D}\ , \tag{3.21}$$

$$\tilde{f}_2'\left[\bar{B}_0\right] = \frac{\kappa^2}{2N_c^2\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + (1 - r^2)\,\kappa^2 \tilde{\sigma}_0^2}\right)} 1_D \equiv \frac{G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0)}{N_c^2} 1_{\rm D}\ , \tag{3.22}$$

where $1_{\rm D}$ is the identity matrix in Dirac space. Using these results, the stationary point equation (3.18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & i\left(\overline{\sigma}_0^{-1}\right)^{\beta\alpha}_{ji}(y, y_\perp; x, x_\perp) + \delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\left(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m\right)^{\alpha\beta}_{ij} \delta^{(2)}(x - y) \\ & -\frac{g^2}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta} h(x - y)\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\left(\overline{\sigma}_0\right)^{\gamma\delta}_{kk}(y, y_\perp; x, x_\perp) \\ & +i\delta_{ij}\delta^{(2)}(x - y)\,\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\delta^{\alpha\beta} 4\left(1 - r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0) = 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

With the parametrization (3.19), this stationary point equation can be converted to an integral equation for $\Sigma(p, p_\perp)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(p, p_\perp) &= g^2 \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2}\, \mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{(p^+ - q^+)^2}\right] \gamma^+ \left(\frac{1}{\not{q} - m - \Sigma(q) + i\epsilon}\right) \gamma^+ \\ &- 4i\left(1 - r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

This is the same equation as in the 't Hooft model (see (B.22)), except that the quark mass has been additively renormalized by a constant that depends on the chiral condensate. It can be solved exactly as

$$\Sigma(p, p_\perp) = \Sigma\left(p^+\right) = -\frac{g^2 \gamma^+}{2\pi p^+} - 4\left(1 - r^2\right) i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0)\ . \tag{3.25}$$

Putting everything together, the quark propagator takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}^\alpha_i(x, x_\perp)\, \Psi^\beta_j(y, y_\perp)\right)\right\rangle = (\overline{\sigma}_0)^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x, x_\perp; y, y_\perp) \\ & = -i\int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2}\delta_{ji}\left[\frac{1}{\not{p} - \left(m - 4\left(1 - r^2\right) i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0)\right) + \frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi p^+} + i\epsilon}\right]^{\beta\alpha} e^{-ip\cdot(y - x)}\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

In particular, for Wilson fermions ($r = 1$), the additive correction to the quark mass vanishes. The quark propagator then reduces to that for the 't Hooft model (see (B.25)). This feature is a consequence of the fact that the Dirac space structure for the Wilson fermion hopping term has projection operator form.

3.3 Chiral condensate

Spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking is an important phenomenological property of the strong interactions (see for instance [27]). Approximate chiral symmetry, $SU_L(2)\otimes SU_R(2)$, arises in QCD, because the two light quarks, u and d, have masses much smaller than Λ_{QCD}. (Inclusion of the somewhat heavier s quark, extends the approximate chiral symmetry to $SU_L(3)\otimes SU_R(3)$.) Unbroken chiral symmetry is undesirable, because it predicts nearly degenerate parity doublets for hadrons—a property that is in contradiction with experimental facts. The conflict is avoided by realizing that the QCD vacuum spontaneously breaks the $SU_L(2)\otimes SU_R(2)$ symmetry to its diagonal subgroup $SU_V(2)$, i.e. in the same direction as the explicit breaking produced by the quark mass term. This pattern of spontaneous symmetry breaking predicts the existence of nearly massless pseudo-Goldstone boson with the same quantum numbers as the broken axial symmetry generators. In fact, the lightest hadrons are pions with precisely these quantum numbers, and one identifies the pions as the pseudo-Goldstone bosons. A non-zero vacuum expectation value of $\overline{\Psi}\Psi$, as $m\to 0$, is an indicator of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking. Demonstration of this behaviour in QCD involves all the complications of strong interaction dynamics, and we now analyse this feature in large-N_c strong transverse coupling QCD.

3.3.1 Naive fermions

We have described the chiral symmetry properties of transverse lattice QCD in section (3.1). Only for fermions with $r=0$, chiral symmetry can be spontaneously broken. We thus have to demonstrate that that the chiral condensate $\left\langle\overline{\Psi}\Psi\right\rangle$ is non-zero in the chiral limit for naive fermions. From eq. (3.26), it follows that $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ (which is the chiral condensate up to a proportionality factor) satisfies the recursive relation,

$$iC\tilde{\sigma}_0=\int\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{D}}\left[\frac{1}{\not{p}-(m-4i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0))+\frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi p^+}+i\epsilon}\right]. \tag{3.27}$$

where,

$$G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0)=\frac{\kappa^2}{2\left[1+\sqrt{1-\kappa^2(i\tilde{\sigma}_0)^2}\right]}. \tag{3.28}$$

The integral over p^- can be easily evaluated, with the principal value prescription [11],

$$\begin{aligned} i\tilde{\sigma}_0 &= \int\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\left[\frac{m-4i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0)}{2p^+p^--(m-4i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0))^2+\frac{g^2}{\pi}+i\epsilon}\right] \\ &= -i\left(\frac{m-4i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1(\tilde{\sigma}_0)}{4\pi}\right)\int_0^\infty\frac{dp^+}{p^+}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

The remaining integral over p^+ is logarithmically divergent. This divergence is along the light-front, and needs careful regularization respecting Poincaré and gauge symmetries.

In the limit $m \to 0$, and with the introduction of a regularization kernel $K(p^+, \tilde{\sigma}_0)$, eq.(3.29) takes the form

$$1 = \frac{iG_1\left(\tilde{\sigma}_0\right)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dp^+}{p^+} \, K(p^+, \tilde{\sigma}_0) \; . \tag{3.30}$$

In case of the 't Hooft model, Burkardt et al. have evaluated the chiral condensate of this form, using split-point regularization and operator formulation [7], with the result (B.51). A similar procedure would give a non-zero chiral condensate in our case too, but we have not been able to evaluate it in a compact form.

3.3.2 Wilson fermions

For Wilson fermions, chiral symmetry is explicitly broken. The condensate $\left\langle \overline{\Psi}\Psi \right\rangle$ therefore does not have direct phenomenological relevance, but we can still calculate it. For $r = 1$, the quark propagator is identical in structure to that for the $(1+1)$-dim large-N_c QCD, except for the dimensionality of Dirac space. Therefore, when the same regularization is used in $(1+1)$-dim and $(3+1)$-dim theories,

$$\left\langle \overline{\Psi}\Psi \right\rangle^{r=1}_{(3+1)-\mathrm{dim}} = 2 \left\langle \overline{\Psi}\Psi \right\rangle_{(1+1)-\mathrm{dim}} \xrightarrow{m\to 0} -\frac{N_c}{a_\perp^3} \sqrt{\frac{g^2}{3\pi}} \; . \tag{3.31}$$

Chapter 4

The Meson Spectrum

4.1 Quark-antiquark scattering Green's function

The time-ordered four-point quark-antiquark Green's function can be obtained from the generating functionals as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Psi_j^{\beta}\left(y,y_\perp\right)\overline{\Psi}_k^{\gamma}\left(z,z_\perp\right)\Psi_l^{\delta}\left(w.w_\perp\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
&-\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Psi_j^{\beta}\left(y,y_\perp\right)\right)\right\rangle\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_k^{\gamma}\left(z,z_\perp\right)\Psi_l^{\delta}\left(w,w_\perp\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
&= -i\left.\frac{\partial^2 W\left[J\right]}{\partial J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\partial J_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}\left(z,z_\perp;w,w_\perp\right)}\right|_{J=0} \\
&= i\left[\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]\left(xx_\perp,yy_\perp;zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right)\Big|_{\varphi=\overline{\sigma}_0}\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Using the effective action (2.61), we find

$$\Gamma^{(2)}\Big|_{\varphi=\overline{\sigma}_0} = S + K\ ,\tag{4.2}$$

$$(S)_{ij,kl}^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}\left(xx_\perp,yy_\perp;zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right) = -i\left(\overline{\sigma}_0^{-1}\right)_{jk}^{\beta\gamma}\left(y,y_\perp;z,z_\perp\right)\left(\overline{\sigma}_0^{-1}\right)_{li}^{\delta\alpha}\left(w,w_\perp;x,x_\perp\right)\ ,\tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(K)_{ij,kl}^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}\left(xx_\perp,yy_\perp;zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right) &= \left.\frac{\partial^2\left(-f_1\left[\varphi\right]+if_2\left[\varphi\right]\right)}{\partial\varphi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\partial\varphi_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}\left(z,z_\perp;w,w_\perp\right)}\right|_{\varphi=\overline{\sigma}_0} \\
= \quad & -\frac{g^2}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}h\left(x-y\right)\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\delta^2\left(x-w\right)\delta_{x_\perp w_\perp}\delta^2\left(y-z\right)\delta_{y_\perp z_\perp}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta} \\
& -i\frac{1}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\delta^2\left(x-y\right)\delta^2\left(x-z\right)\delta^2\left(x-w\right)\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp}\delta_{z_\perp w_\perp} \\
& \cdot\Big[\left(G_1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2G_2\right)\Big\{\left(r-i\gamma^n\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(r+i\gamma^n\right)^{\gamma\beta}\delta_{x_\perp-n,z_\perp} \\
& \qquad +\left(r+i\gamma^n\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(r-i\gamma^n\right)^{\gamma\beta}\delta_{x_\perp+n,z_\perp}\Big\}-4\left(1-r^2\right)^2\tilde{\sigma}_0^2G_2\delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta}\delta_{x_\perp,z_\perp}\Big].
\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

Here G_1 and G_2 are functions of $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ given by

$$G_1\left(\tilde{\sigma}_0\right) = \frac{\kappa^2}{2\left(1+\sqrt{1+\left(1-r^2\right)\kappa^2\tilde{\sigma}_0^2}\right)} , \tag{4.5}$$

$$G_2\left(\tilde{\sigma}_0\right) = \frac{-\kappa^4}{4\sqrt{1+\left(1-r^2\right)\kappa^2\tilde{\sigma}_0^2}\ \left(1+\sqrt{1+\left(1-r^2\right)\kappa^2\tilde{\sigma}_0^2}\right)^2} . \tag{4.6}$$

The full quark-antiquark scattering Green's function is

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left\{\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha\left(x,x_\perp\right)\Psi_j^\beta\left(y,y_\perp\right)\overline{\Psi}_k^\gamma\left(z,z_\perp\right)\Psi_l^\delta\left(w.w_\perp\right)\right\}\right\rangle \\ = \ &\left(\overline{\sigma}_0\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)\left(\overline{\sigma}_0\right)_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}\left(z,z_\perp;w,w_\perp\right)+i\left[S+K\right]^{-1}{}_{ij;kl}^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}\left(xx_\perp,yy_\perp;zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The meson spectrum is determined by the poles of this Green's function, while the corresponding residues are related to the meson wavefunctions. Clearly, the singularities of the quark-antiquark scattering Green's function are contained in the zeroes of $[S+K]$. The homogenous equation which determines these zeroes and the corresponding eigenfunctions is the Bethe-Salpeter equation.

4.2 Bethe-Salpeter equation

In a symbolic form, the Bethe-Salpeter equation is

$$[S+K]\,\chi = 0 . \tag{4.8}$$

In a more explicit notation,

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^2z d^2w \sum_{z_\perp w_\perp} \quad &\Big[(S)_{ij;kl}^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}\left(xx_\perp,yy_\perp;zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right) \\ &+(K)_{ij,kl}^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}\left(xx_\perp,yy_\perp;zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right)\Big]\,\chi_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}\left(zz_\perp,ww_\perp\right)=0 . \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Let us transform this equation to momentum space. It follows from eq.(3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\overline{\sigma}_0^{-1}\right)_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right) &= i\int\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\,e^{-ip\cdot(y-x)}\delta_{x_\perp y_\perp} \\ &\cdot\,\delta_{ji}\left[\gamma^\mu p_\mu-\left(m-4i\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1\right)+\frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi p^+}\right]^{\beta\alpha} . \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Define the momentum transform of $\chi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)$ by

$$\chi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,x_\perp;y,y_\perp\right)=\int\frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4}\,\tilde{\chi}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(k_1,k_{1\perp};k_2,k_{2\perp}\right)e^{-ik_1\cdot x+ik_{1\perp}\cdot x_\perp-ik_2\cdot y+ik_{2\perp}\cdot y_\perp} . \tag{4.11}$$

With (4.3), (4.4), (4.10) and (4.11), the momentum space Bethe-Salpeter equation for meson states becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
& i\left[\not{k}_1-\left(m_1-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1\right)+\frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi k_1^+}\right]^{\alpha\delta} \\
& \cdot\left[-\not{k}_2-\left(m_2-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1\right)-\frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi k_2^+}\right]^{\gamma\beta}\tilde{\chi}_{ji}^{\gamma\delta}\left(k_2,k_{2\perp};k_1,k_{1\perp}\right) \\
+ & \int\frac{d^4 l_1}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4 l_2}{(2\pi)^4}\delta^{(4)}\left(k_1+k_2-l_1-l_2\right)\frac{1}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\Bigg[g^2\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta}\mathrm{P}\frac{1}{\left(k_1^+-l_1^+\right)^2} \\
& -i\Bigg\{2\left(G_1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\right)\Bigg(\sum_n\left[r^2\delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta}+\left(\gamma^n\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^n\right)^{\gamma\beta}\right]\cos\left(\left(k_{1\perp}+k_{2\perp}\right)\cdot n\right) \\
& \qquad +r\sum_n\left[\delta^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^n\right)^{\gamma\beta}-\left(\gamma^n\right)^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta}\right]\sin\left(\left(k_{1\perp}+k_{2\perp}\right)\cdot n\right)\Bigg) \\
& -4\left(1-r^2\right)^2\tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta}\Bigg\}\Bigg]\tilde{\chi}_{ll}^{\gamma\delta}\left(l_2,l_{2\perp};l_1,l_{1\perp}\right) \;=\; 0\,. \qquad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the above equation in an obvious matrix notation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\chi}_{ii}^T\left(p_2,p_{2\perp};p_1,p_{1\perp}\right) = & \frac{\left[\not{p}_1+\left(m_1-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1\right)+\frac{g^2}{2\pi p_1^+}\gamma^+\right]}{p_1^2-\left(m_1-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1\right)^2+\frac{g^2}{\pi}+i\epsilon} \\
\times & \int\frac{d^4 k_1 d^4 k_2}{(2\pi)^4}\,\delta^{(4)}\left(p_1+p_2-k_1-k_2\right)\Bigg[ig^2\mathrm{P}\frac{1}{\left(p_1^+-k_1^+\right)^2}\,\gamma^+\tilde{\chi}_{jj}^T\left(k_2,k_{2\perp};k_1,k_{1\perp}\right)\gamma^+ \\
& +2\left(G_1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\right) \\
& \quad\cdot\Bigg(\sum_n\left[r^2\tilde{\chi}_{jj}^T\left(k_2,k_{2\perp};k_1,k_{1\perp}\right)+\gamma^n\tilde{\chi}_{jj}^T\left(k_2,k_{2\perp};k_1,k_{1\perp}\right)\gamma^n\right]\cos\left(\left(k_{1\perp}+k_{2\perp}\right)\cdot n\right) \\
& \quad +r\sum_n\left[\tilde{\chi}_{jj}^T\left(k_2,k_{2\perp};k_1,k_{1\perp}\right)\gamma^n-\gamma^n\tilde{\chi}_{jj}^T\left(k_2,k_{2\perp};k_1,k_{1\perp}\right)\right]\sin\left(\left(k_{1\perp}+k_{2\perp}\right)\cdot n\right)\Bigg) \\
& -4\left(1-r^2\right)^2\tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\tilde{\chi}_{jj}^T\left(k_2,k_{2\perp};k_1,k_{1\perp}\right)\Bigg] \qquad (4.13) \\
\times & \frac{\left[-\not{p}_2+\left(m_2-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1\right)-\frac{g^2}{2\pi p_2^+}\gamma^+\right]}{p_2^2-\left(m_2-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1\right)^2+\frac{g^2}{\pi}+i\epsilon}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we scale the longitudinal momenta as

$$p_1^+ + p_2^+ = 1,\ p_1^+ = x,\ k_1^+ = y = y_1,\ k_2^+ = y_2, \qquad (4.14)$$

and choose to work in a reference frame where the total incoming and outgoing transverse momenta vanish,

$$p_{1\perp}+p_{2\perp}=0\,. \qquad (4.15)$$

In such a case, except for the external quark propagators and the eigenfunction $\tilde{\chi}$, all other factors in eq.(4.13) are independent of the "-" and "$\perp$" momentum components. This instantaneous nature of the Bethe-Salpeter kernel makes it convenient to project the Bethe-Salpeter equation on the light-front by [3]

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi\left(x\right) = \int dp_1^- dp_2^- \frac{d^2p_{1\perp}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{d^2p_{2\perp}}{(2\pi)^2}\,\delta\left(\frac{M^2}{2}-p_1^- -p_2^-\right)\delta^{(2)}\left(p_{1\perp}+p_{2\perp}\right)& \\ \tilde{\chi}_{ii}^T\left(p_2^-,1-x,p_{2\perp};p_1^-,x,p_{1\perp}\right).& \qquad (4.16)\end{aligned}$$

Performing a simple contour integral over p_1^-, we obtain the homogeneous equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[M^2-\frac{\left(m_1-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1\right)^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}}{x}-\frac{\left(m_2-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1\right)^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}}{1-x}\right]\Phi(x)\\
=\;&\frac{1}{2x(1-x)}\left[\frac{\left(m_1-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1\right)^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}}{2x}\gamma^+ + x\gamma^- + \left(m_1-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1\right)+\frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi x}\right]\\
\times\;&\int_0^1\frac{dy}{(2\pi)}\Bigg\{g^2\mathrm{P}\frac{1}{(x-y)^2}\gamma^+\Phi(y)\gamma^+\\
&\qquad -i\left(2\left(G_1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2G_2\right)\left[2r^2\Phi(y)+\sum_n\gamma^n\Phi(y)\gamma^n\right]-4\left(1-r^2\right)^2\tilde{\sigma}_0^2G_2\Phi(y)\right)\Bigg\}\\
\times\;&\left[-\left(\frac{M^2}{2}-\frac{\left(m_1-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1\right)^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}}{2x}\right)\gamma^+\right.\\
&\left.\quad -(1-x)\gamma^- + \left(m_2-4\left(1-r^2\right)i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1\right)-\frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi(1-x)}\right]. \qquad (4.17)\end{aligned}$$

Solutions to this equation provide the meson spectrum and light-front wavefunctions.

4.3 Meson properties

The integral equation (4.17) is quite complicated, and it has to be solved numerically in general. But by comparing it to the corresponding equation for the 't Hooft model, (B.37), we observe that four changes have taken place in going from the $(1+1)$-dim theory to our $(3+1)$-dim case:

- The meson wavefunction has become a matrix in Dirac space. Physical meson states labeled by spin-parity quantum numbers have to be obtained by diagonalization of the matrix integral equation.

- The quark mass has been additively renormalized due to hopping in the transverse directions (tadpole diagrams), $m \to \tilde{m} = m - 4(1-r^2)i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1$.

- The transverse lattice dynamics has shifted the interaction kernel by a term, independent of the longitudinal momentum fraction x but dependent on the spin-parity of the meson. This wavefunction at the origin effect is a result of the strong transverse gauge coupling which does not allow the quark and the antiquark to separate.

- The δ-function constraint in the transverse directions makes the meson orbital angular momentum L_z vanish. Then $J_z = S_z$, and with spin-half quarks, the meson helicities are restricted to $0, \pm 1$. Allowed spin-parity quantum numbers for the mesons are therefore $J^P = 0^\pm, 1^\pm$.

These changes are simple enough, so that even without explicitly solving (4.17), we can infer some of the meson properties, based on the known results of the 't Hooft model and noting that extrapolation in κ from zero to non-zero value is smooth. We find it convenient to describe the meson wavefunctions in the basis that is a direct product of the usual Clifford bases in the continuum and the lattice directions:

$$\Phi = \sum_{C,L} \Phi_{C;L} \Gamma^{C;L} \ , \tag{4.18}$$

$$\Gamma_M = \Gamma_C \otimes \Gamma_L = \left\{ 1, \gamma^+, \gamma^-, \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^+, \gamma^-] \right\} \otimes \left\{ 1, \gamma^1, \gamma^2, \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^1, \gamma^2] \right\} \ . \tag{4.19}$$

In this basis, $\sum_n \gamma^n \Phi_{C,L} \gamma^n \propto \Phi_{C,L}$ for each value of L. The sixteen components of (4.17), therefore, separate in to four blocks (labeled by the value of L) of four components each. In addition, parity remains an exact symmetry in each block.

It is then easy to deduce the following features:

- The singular part of the interaction kernel is the same as in the ‘t Hooft model. It is this part which determines the behaviour of finite norm solutions at the boundaries at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ [26], and only the components $\Phi_{-;L}$ contribute to it. We thus expect $\Phi_{-;L}$ to vanish at the boundaries as x^{β_1} and $(1-x)^{\beta_2}$, where

$$\pi\beta_1 \cot(\pi\beta_1) = 1 - \frac{\tilde{m}_1^2 \pi}{g^2} \ , \quad \pi\beta_2 \cot(\pi\beta_2) = 1 - \frac{\tilde{m}_2^2 \pi}{g^2} \ . \tag{4.20}$$

- The light-front wavefunctions are gauge invariant by construction, and they are restricted to the finite box $x \in [0, 1]$. The finite box size guarantees that the spectrum of M^2 is purely discrete [11]. In each spin-parity sector, meson states can thus be labeled by a radial excitation quantum number $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$.

- For large n, the behaviour of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the integral equation is governed only by the singular part of the interaction kernel. Then as in the 't Hooft model [26],

$$\left.\begin{array}{r} M_n^2 \simeq \pi g^2 n \\ \Phi^n_{-;L}(x) \simeq \sqrt{2}\sin(\pi n x) \end{array}\right\} n \gg 1 \ . \tag{4.21}$$

The non-singular spin-parity dependent part of the interaction kernel, arising from the transverse lattice dynamics, only provides an n-independent zero-point energy shift. We thus expect parallel infinite towers of meson states in the $M^2 - n$ plane, labeled by spin-parity quantum numbers.

- Under the exchange of the quark and the antiquark, the meson wavefunction transforms as $E_{q\overline{q}}\Phi(x;m_1,m_2) = C\Phi^T(1-x;m_2,m_1)C^{-1}$, where C is the charge conjugation operator. Eq.(4.17) is invariant under this exchange operation (it is easier to see this before performing the contour integral over p_1^-). Although parity is an exact symmetry of our formalism, it is not manifest, because the light-front is not invariant under parity transformation. The $(x \leftrightarrow 1-x)$ part of $E_{q\overline{q}}$ can be associated with parity, however, which implies that meson states in each tower alternate in parity as in the 't Hooft model. Since $\Phi^1_{-;L}$ is symmetric, and the quark and the antiquark have opposite intrinsic parities, the lowest meson state in each tower has negative parity and $P = (-1)^n$.
- The parity symmetry is exact in every block labeled by L, so the eight possible spin-parity quantum numbers are distributed in to the four blocks as two states of opposite parity in each block. Explicitly, in the conventional notation, $\{\pi, a_1(0)\} \in \Phi_{C;n_1n_2}$, $\{\rho(n), a_1(n)\} \in \Phi_{C;n}$ and $\{\rho(0), \sigma\} \in \Phi_{C;1}$.
- Another consequence of the parity symmetry is that the equations for the components $\Phi_{C;n_1}$ and $\Phi_{C;n_2}$ are degenerate. This feature implies identical masses for meson states with helicity$=\pm$, which correspond to the transverse lattice combinations $(n_1 \pm in_2)$ (e.g. in case of vector and axial mesons). There are thus three independent towers of meson states. Note that helicity can be defined only modulo-4 on a transverse square lattice, and explicit breaking of rotational symmetry makes the equations for the helicity$=0$ state and the helicity$=\pm$ states non-degenerate.
- Fitting the meson spectrum to experimental results requires determination of the parameters g^2 and κ, in addition to the quark masses. It would be convenient to fix g^2 using the slope of the infinite towers of states, and κ by demanding (to the extent possible) degeneracy of helicity$=0,\pm$ states.

Now we consider the cases of naive and Wilson fermions explicitly.

4.3.1 Naive fermions

For $r = 0$, the meson integral equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[M^2 - \frac{(m-4i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1)^2 - \frac{g^2}{\pi}}{x} - \frac{(m-4i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1)^2 - \frac{g^2}{\pi}}{1-x}\right]\Phi(x)\\ = \;& \frac{1}{2x(1-x)}\left[\frac{(m-4i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1)^2 - \frac{g^2}{\pi}}{2x}\gamma^+ + x\gamma^- + (m-4i\tilde{\sigma}_0G_1) + \frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi x}\right] \qquad (4.22)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\times \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{(2\pi)} \Big\{ g^2 \mathrm{P} \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} \gamma^+ \Phi(y) \gamma^+ - i \left(2 \left(G_1 + \tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2 \right) \sum_n \gamma^n \Phi(y) \gamma^n - 4 \tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2 \Phi(y) \right) \Big\} \\
&\times \left[- \left(\frac{M^2}{2} - \frac{(m - 4i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1)^2 - \frac{g^2}{\pi}}{2x} \right) \gamma^+ - (1-x)\gamma^- + (m - 4i\tilde{\sigma}_0 G_1) - \frac{g^2 \gamma^+}{2\pi(1-x)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, unbroken chiral symmetry of the action implies that the chiral limit of the theory is at $m = 0$.

4.3.2 Wilson fermions

For $r = 1$, the meson integral equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[M^2 - \frac{m^2 - \frac{g^2}{\pi}}{x} - \frac{m^2 - \frac{g^2}{\pi}}{1-x} \right] \Phi(x) \;&=\; \frac{1}{2x(1-x)} \left[\frac{m^2 - \frac{g^2}{\pi}}{2x} \gamma^+ + x\gamma^- + m + \frac{g^2 \gamma^+}{2\pi x} \right] \\
&\times \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{(2\pi)} \Big\{ g^2 \mathrm{P} \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} \gamma^+ \Phi(y) \gamma^+ - i\kappa^2 \Big[2\Phi(y) + \sum_n \gamma^n \Phi(y) \gamma^n \Big] \Big\} \\
&\times \Big[- \left(\frac{M^2}{2} - \frac{m^2 - \frac{g^2}{\pi}}{2x} \right) \gamma^+ - (1-x)\gamma^- + m - \frac{g^2 \gamma^+}{2\pi(1-x)} \Big] \qquad (4.23)
\end{aligned}$$

This equation is quite close in structure to the 't Hooft equation, because the tadpole renormalization of quark mass vanishes.

It is easy to see that the transverse part of the interaction kernel vanishes for the component $\Phi_{-;n_1 n_2}$, for any value of κ. It follows that $\Phi_{-;n_1 n_2}$is an eigenstate of the equation with eigenvalue zero, when $m = 0$. This is the pseudoscalar Goldstone boson of the theory, with the corresponding wavefunction $\Phi^1_{-;n_1 n_2} = 1$, just as in the case of the 't Hooft model. The fact that the chiral limit of the theory is at $m = 0$ is a remarkable result, quite likely connected to the fact that the Wilson fermion transverse hopping term is constructed using projection operators in Dirac space. We feel that with a simple structure and an exact chiral limit at $m = 0$, numerical investigations of (4.23) will not be too complicated.

A result of particular interest is the Goldstone boson decay constant, since that allows us to estimate the overall scale of the theory. It is the same as that for the 't Hooft model, except for the change in dimensionality of Dirac space,

$$(f_\pi)^{r=1}_{(3+1)-\mathrm{dim}} = \sqrt{2}\, (f_\pi)_{(1+1)-\mathrm{dim}} \overset{m\to 0}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{a_\perp} \sqrt{\frac{2N_c}{\pi}} \; . \qquad (4.24)$$

Using $N_c = 3$ and the experimental value $f_\pi^{\exp} \simeq 132$ MeV, we obtain an estimate of the lattice cut-off, $\pi/a_\perp \simeq 300$ MeV.

4.4 Outlook

It is important to study bound states in QCD from first principles, because detailed quantitative understanding of hadronic phenomena requires that. We have approached this problem in the transverse lattice QCD formulation, exploiting properties of large-N_c and strong transverse gauge coupling limits. In these limits, we have explicitly obtained the generating functional of $(3+1)$-dim QCD, starting from only quark and gluon degrees of freedom and no ad hoc model assumptions. Our study has been limited to mesons, and we have derived an integral equation satisfied by the meson light-front wavefunctions. Extraction of detailed properties (e.g. masses and form factors) from this equation requires numerical solutions to this integral equation. To study baryons, we have to find soliton solutions to the effective action calculated here in different baryon number sectors, and to study glueballs, we have to go to subleading orders in strong transverse gauge coupling expansion.

Other groups have also studied bound states using the transverse lattice approach. We refer the reader to the detailed review of Burkardt and Dalley for a survey [6]. They study transverse lattice QCD in the Hamiltonian formulation, using the colour-dielectric expansion. In the dielectric formulation, $SU(N_c)$ group elements representing physical gluon fields, are replaced by general matrix variables corresponding to gluon fields smeared over short distance scales. These dielectric variables carry colour degrees of freedom, and form an effective gauge theory with a simple vacuum structure. The number of possible interaction terms in this effective gauge theory is much larger than in QCD. The requirements of symmetry restoration help in organization of these interaction terms, in a hierarchy suitable for practical solutions. To date, all such solutions have been obtained in the large-N_c limit, which has restricted them to mesons and glueballs. Moreover, they have not been able to sum the transverse hopping expansion to all orders, and so they can not extract detailed chiral properties from their results.

Appendix A

Notations and Conventions

A.1 Space-time

A point in (1+3)-dim Minkowski space time is specified by the coordinates x^μ, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. x^0 is the time coordinate, while x^1, x^2, x^3 are spatial coordinates. The metric tensor of $(1+3)$-dim Minkowski space is

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} \quad \equiv \quad \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.1}$$

and the invariant distance is

$$ds^2 \equiv g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \left(dx^0\right)^2 - \left(dx^1\right)^2 - \left(dx^2\right)^2 - \left(dx^3\right)^2 . \tag{A.2}$$

It is implicitly understood that repeated indices are to be summed over their appropriate range, unless explicitly stated otherwise. The space-time indices $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ are raised or lowered by contraction with the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ or $g_{\mu\nu}$.

$$\begin{array}{cc} V^\mu = g^{\mu\nu} V_\nu & V_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} V^\nu \\ V^\mu \equiv (V^0, V^1, V^2, V^3) & V_\mu \equiv (V^0, -V^1, -V^2, -V^3) \end{array} \tag{A.3}$$

Derivatives with respect to contravariant (x^μ) or covariant (x_μ) coordinates are abbreviated as

$$\partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \qquad , \qquad \partial^\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} . \tag{A.4}$$

The light-front coordinates are defined as

$$x^\pm = \frac{(x^0 \pm x^1)}{\sqrt{2}} , \quad x_\pm = \frac{(x_0 \pm x_1)}{\sqrt{2}} , \quad x^\perp = \left(x^2, x^3\right) , \quad x_\perp = (x_2, x_3) , \tag{A.5}$$

where x^+, x^- and $x^\perp$ are the light-front time, longitudinal and transverse coordinates respectively. The invariant distance in terms of light-front coordinates is

$$ds^2 = 2dx^+dx^- - \left(dx^2\right)^2 - \left(dx^3\right)^2 . \tag{A.6}$$

For any four-vector V^μ, $V^\pm = V_\mp$ while $V^\perp = -V_\perp$. In terms of the light-front components, the scalar product of two arbitrary four-vectors V^μ and W^μ takes the form

$$V^\mu W_\mu = V^+W^- + V^-W^+ - V^2W^2 - V^3W^3 . \tag{A.7}$$

If p^μ is the four-momentum, then p^-, p^+ and $p^\perp$ are the light-front energy, longitudinal momentum and transverse momentum respectively. $p^2 = p_\mu p^\mu = m^2$ for a real particle of mass m. The light cone energy p^- is then

$$p^- = \frac{\left(p^\perp\right)^2 + m^2}{2p^+}. \tag{A.8}$$

The (1 + 3)-dim transverse lattice space-time is the direct product of (1 + 1)-dim Minkowski continuum and planar square lattice with lattice spacing $a^\perp$. We denote the coordinates of a general point in this space-time by $\left(x, x^\perp\right)$, where $x \equiv x^\mu = (x^0, x^1)$ and $x^\perp = (x^2, x^3)$ label the coordinates in $(1+1)$-dim Minkowski continuum and planar square lattice respectively.

A.2 Pauli matrices

The Pauli sigma-matrices σ^1, σ^2 and σ^3 are the generators of the fundamental representation of $SU(2)$, i.e. the group of 2×2 unitary matrices, with unit determinant.

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.9}$$

They satisfy

$$\sigma^i\sigma^j = \delta^{jk} + i\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^k. \tag{A.10}$$

The raising and lowering operators are $\sigma^\pm = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^1 \pm i\sigma^2\right)$,

$$\sigma^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.11}$$

A.3 Dirac matrices

The Dirac gamma-matrices $\{\gamma^\mu\}$, with $\mu \in \{0,1,2,3\}$, form a 4-dimensional representation of the Clifford algebra defined by the anticommumtation relations

$$[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]_+ = 2g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{A.12}$$

Further define

$$\gamma^5 = \gamma_5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3. \tag{A.13}$$

From the anticommutation relations, it follows that

$$\left[\gamma^5, \gamma^\mu\right]_+ = 0\ , \quad \left(\gamma^5\right)^2 = 1\ . \tag{A.14}$$

We use the particular matrix representation provided by

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma^3 \\ i\sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ -\sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.15}$$

In this case, γ^0, γ^5 are hermitian, while $\gamma^1, \gamma^2, \gamma^3$ are anti-hermitian. Also

$$\gamma^0 \left(\gamma^\mu\right)^\dagger \gamma^0 = \gamma^\mu. \tag{A.16}$$

On the light-front,

$$\gamma^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\gamma^0 \pm \gamma^1\right). \tag{A.17}$$

It follows that $\left(\gamma^+\right)^2 = \left(\gamma^-\right)^2 = 0$, while $\gamma^+\gamma^- + \gamma^-\gamma^+ = 2$. Explicitly,

$$\gamma^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\sigma^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\sigma^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^- = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\sigma^- & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\sigma^- \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.18}$$

A.4 Lie algebra of $SU\left(N_c\right)$

The Lie group $SU\left(N_c\right)$ is the group of $N_c \times N_c$ matrices of unit determinant. Every element V of $SU\left(N_c\right)$ can be parametrized as in terms of $N_c^2 - 1$ real parameters labeled as ω_a

$$V = \exp\left(i \sum_{a=1}^{N_c^2-1} t_a \omega_a\right), \tag{A.19}$$

where t_a are the generators of the Lie algebra of $SU\left(N_c\right)$ and ω_a are real parameters. The generators are traceless, Hermitean $N_c \times N_c$ matrices, i.e.

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(t_a\right) = 0\ , \quad t_a^\dagger = t_a. \tag{A.20}$$

They satisfy the commutation relations,

$$\left[t_a, t_b\right]_- = i f_{abc} t_c\ . \tag{A.21}$$

where the structure constants f_{abc} are completely antisymmetric and real. They also obey a completeness relation

$$\sum_{a=1}^{N_c^2-1} (t_a)_{ij} (t_a)_{kl} = \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}. \tag{A.22}$$

We use the normalization convention

$$\mathrm{tr}\,(t_a t_b) = \delta_{ab}\ . \tag{A.23}$$

For a general matrix M in both colour and Dirac space, we denote its elements as $M_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ (Latin indices for colour and Greek indices for spinor). For its trace, we use the notation

- trace in colour space: $\mathrm{tr}(M)$,
- trace in Dirac space: $\mathrm{tr_D}(M)$,
- trace in colour and Dirac space: $\mathrm{Tr}(M)$.

A.5 Parallel transporters

In a gauge theory, parallel transporters are unitary matrices, $U(C_{y;x})$, forming a map from directed curves $C_{y;x}$ to the gauge group. They satisfy the group properties:

- $U(\emptyset) = 1$ where $\emptyset$ is a curve of zero length.
- $U(C_2 \circ C_1) = U(C_2)\,U(C_1)$, where $C_2 \circ C_1$ is the path composed of C_1 followed by C_2.
- $U(-C) = U(C)^{-1} = U(C)^{\dagger}$, where $-C$ denotes the path C traversed in the opposite direction.

Under a gauge transformation specified by $V(z)$,

$$U(C_{y;x}) \to U'(C_{y;x}) = V(y)\,U(C_{y;x})\,V^{-1}(x)\,. \tag{A.24}$$

Given the gauge field $A_\mu(x)$, for an infinitesimal curve,

$$U(C_{x+dx;x}) = 1 - A_\mu(x)\,dx^\mu + \cdots. \tag{A.25}$$

If $c^\mu(t)$ parametrizes the curve C from $c^\mu(0) = x^\mu$ to $c^\mu(s) = y^\mu$, then

$$\frac{d}{ds}U(C) = -A_\mu(c(s)) \left.\frac{dc^\mu}{dt}\right|_{t=s} U(C) \tag{A.26}$$

With the initial condition $U(\emptyset) = 1$, the solution is

$$U(C) = \mathcal{P}\exp\left\{-\int_0^s A_\mu(c(t))\,\frac{dc^\mu}{dt}dt\right\} \equiv \mathcal{P}\exp\left\{-\int_C A^\mu\left(x, x^\perp\right)dx_\mu\right\}, \tag{A.27}$$

where the symbol $\mathcal{P}$ denotes path ordering with respect to the variable t.

Appendix B

The 't Hooft Model

B.1 Preliminaries

The 't Hooft model is the (1+1)-dim $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory, with quarks in the fundamental representation, in the large-N_c limit. We describe various aspects of its solution in this Appendix. We use functional integral methods, since that allows the large-N_c limit to be imposed in a straightforward fashion.

The action for this theory in terms of the quark and the gluon fields is

$$S = \frac{N_c}{4g^2} \int d^2x \, \mathrm{tr}\left(F^{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\mu\nu}(x)\right) + \int d^2x \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \overline{\Psi}_a(x)[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_a]\Psi_a(x) \; . \tag{B.1}$$

When the bare quark masses m_a are zero, then the action is invariant under:

- Single flavour case: $U_V(1) \otimes U_A(1)$.
- Multi-flavour case: $SU_L(N_f) \otimes SU_R(N_f) \otimes U_V(1) \otimes U_A(1)$.

B.2 Generating functional for quark-antiquark correlation functions

In presence of an external quark bilinear source term, the generating functional of the theory is (for sake of simplicity, we work with a single flavour),

$$Z[J] = \int \left[D\Psi \cdot D\overline{\Psi}\right][DA] \, \exp\left[iI\left[\Psi, \overline{\Psi}, A\right] + (\text{gauge terms})\right] , \tag{B.2}$$

$$I = S + \int d^2x d^2y \, \overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x) J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y) \Psi_j^\beta(y) \; . \tag{B.3}$$

Here "gauge terms" stands for both the chosen gauge as well as the corresponding ghost determinant. Arbitrary connected time-ordered quark-antiquark correlation functions can be obtained by taking functional derivatives of $W[J] \equiv -i \ln Z[J]$ with respect to J, e.g.

$$\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x)\,\Psi_j^\beta(y)\right)\right\rangle = \left.\frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y)}\right|_{J=0}, \tag{B.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x)\,\Psi_j^\beta(y)\,\overline{\Psi}_k^\gamma(z)\,\Psi_l^\delta(w)\right)\right\rangle &- \left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x)\,\Psi_j^\beta(y)\right)\right\rangle \left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}_k^\gamma(z)\,\Psi_l^\delta(w)\right)\right\rangle \\ &= -i\left.\frac{\delta^2 W[J]}{\delta J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y)\,\delta J_{kl}^{\gamma\delta}(z,w)}\right|_{J=0}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

In order to compute the quark propagator and the quark-antiquark scattering amplitude, $W[J]$ should be known to $O(J^2)$.

B.3 Computing $Z[J]$ in large-N_c limit

We work in the ghost-free light-front gauge $A^+ = A^0 + A^1 = 0$. In this gauge, the functional integral over the A^- variables is purely Gaussian; so A^- can be integrated out exactly.

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J] &= \int \left[D\Psi \cdot D\overline{\Psi}\right]\left[DA^-\right] \exp\left[i\int d^2x d^2y\, \overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x) J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y)\Psi_j^\beta(y)\right] \\ &\quad \times \exp\left[i\int d^2x\, \overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x)\delta_{ij}\left(i\left(\gamma^\mu\right)^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu - m\delta^{\alpha\beta}\right)\Psi_j^\beta(x)\right] \\ &\quad \times \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\int d^2x\, A_{ij}^-(x)\left(-\frac{iN_c}{g^2}\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}\partial_-^2 A_{kl}^-(x)\right)\right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. - \int d^2x\, \left(\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x)\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\beta}\Psi_j^\beta(x)\right) A_{ij}^-(x)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

The integration over gauge fields produces a non-local interaction term which is quadratic in fermion bilinears. Suppressing the overall normalization constant,

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J] &= \int \left[D\Psi \cdot D\overline{\Psi}\right] \exp\left[i\int d^2x d^2y\, \overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x) J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y)\Psi_j^\beta(y)\right] \\ &\times \exp\left[i\int d^2x\, \overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x)\delta_{ij}\left(i\left(\gamma^\mu\right)^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu - m\delta^{\alpha\beta}\right)\Psi_j^\beta(x)\right] \\ &\times \exp\left[-\frac{ig^2}{2N_c}\int d^2x d^2y\, h(x-y)\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta}\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x)\Psi_i^\beta(y)\overline{\Psi}_j^\gamma(y)\Psi_j^\delta(x)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

where $h(x-y)$ is the solution of $\partial_-^2 h(x-y) = \delta^{(2)}(x-y)$, with the principal value prescription as the boundary condition. To carry out the fermionic integration, we use the identity [16]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int \left[\prod_a d\xi_a d\overline{\xi}_a\right] \exp \mathrm{Tr} f\left(\left\{\overline{\xi}_c \xi_d\right\}\right) \\
&= \int \left[\prod_a d\xi_a d\overline{\xi}_a\right] \left[\prod_{ab} d\sigma_{ab} \delta\left(\sigma_{ab} - \overline{\xi}_a \xi_b\right)\right] \exp \mathrm{Tr} f\left(\left\{\sigma_{cd}\right\}\right) \\
&= \int \left[\prod_a d\xi_a d\overline{\xi}_a\right] \left[\prod_{ab} d\sigma_{ab} d\lambda_{ab}\right] \exp\left[i\lambda_{ab}\left(\sigma_{ab} - \overline{\xi}_a \xi_b\right)\right] \exp \mathrm{Tr} f\left(\left\{\sigma_{cd}\right\}\right) \\
&= \int \left[\prod_{ab} d\sigma_{ab} d\lambda_{ab}\right] \det\left(-i\lambda\right) \exp\left[i\lambda_{ab}\sigma_{ab} + \mathrm{Tr} f\left(\left\{\sigma_{cd}\right\}\right)\right] \\
&= \int \left[\prod_{ab} d\sigma_{ab} d\lambda_{ab}\right] \exp\left(\mathrm{Tr}\left[\ln\left(-i\lambda\right) + i\sigma^T\lambda + f\left(\left\{\sigma_{cd}\right\}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.8}
$$

This identity allows the functional integral to be written only in terms of bilocal bosonic variables:

$$
Z\left[J\right] = \int \left[D\sigma \cdot D\lambda\right] \; \exp\left[iV\left(\sigma, \lambda; J\right)\right], \tag{B.9}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(\sigma, \lambda; J\right) &= -i \int d^2x \; \mathrm{Tr}\left[\ln(-i\lambda(x,x))\right] + \int d^2x d^2y \Big[\lambda_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right) \sigma_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right) \\
&+ \sigma_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right) \; \Big\{\delta_{ij}\left(i\left(\gamma^\mu\right)^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu - m\delta^{\alpha\beta}\right)\delta^{(2)}\left(x-y\right) + J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right)\Big\} \qquad \text{(B.10)} \\
&- \frac{g^2}{2N_c} h(x-y)\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta}\sigma_{ii}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right)\sigma_{jj}^{\gamma\delta}\left(y,x\right)\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

$V\left(\sigma, \lambda; J\right)$ is of $O\left(N_c\right)$, so the large-N_c limit of the functional integral amounts to evaluating the functional integral at its stationary point. (This illustrates why the $N_c \to \infty$ limit can be thought of as a classical limit of a quantum theory; albeit different than the classical limit corresponding to $\hbar \to 0$.) The equations determining the stationary point are:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial\lambda_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right)}\right|_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda}} = -i\left(\overline{\lambda}^{-1}\right)_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}\left(y,x\right) + \overline{\sigma}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right) = 0 \tag{B.11}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right)}\right|_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda}} &= \overline{\lambda}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right) + \delta_{ij}\left(i\left(\gamma^\mu\right)^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu - m\delta^{\alpha\beta}\right)\delta^{(2)}\left(x-y\right) + J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{g^2}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta} h(x-y)\,\overline{\sigma}_{kk}^{\gamma\delta}\left(y,x\right) = 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.12}
$$

The first stationary point equation implies

$$
\overline{\lambda}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y) = i\left(\overline{\sigma}^{-1}\right)_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}\left(y,x\right) . \tag{B.13}
$$

Substitution of this in to the second stationary point equation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&i\left(\overline{\sigma}^{-1}\right)_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}\left(y,x\right) + \delta_{ij}\left(i\left(\gamma^\mu\right)^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu - m\delta^{\alpha\beta}\right)\delta^{(2)}\left(x-y\right) + J_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\left(x,y\right) \\
&- \frac{g^2}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta} h(x-y)\,\overline{\sigma}_{kk}^{\gamma\delta}\left(y,x\right) = 0 .
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.14}
$$

Solution of (B.14) will yield $\overline{\sigma}$ as a function of J. Thus we have

$$Z\left[J\right]=\exp\left[iV\left(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda};J\right)\right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad W\left[J\right]=V\left(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda};J\right)\equiv V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right)\ , \tag{B.15}$$

where $V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right)$, obtained by substituting for $\overline{\lambda}$ in terms of $\overline{\sigma}$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right) &= i\int d^2x\ \text{Tr}\left[\ln\overline{\sigma}(x,x)\right]+\int d^2xd^2y \\ &\Big[\overline{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}\left(x,y\right)\Big\{J^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}\left(x,y\right)+\delta_{ij}\left(i\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}-m\delta^{\alpha\beta}\right)\delta^{(2)}\left(x-y\right)\Big\} \\ &-\frac{g^2}{2N_c}h(x-y)\left(\gamma^{+}\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^{+}\right)^{\gamma\beta}\overline{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}_{ii}\left(x,y\right)\overline{\sigma}^{\gamma\delta}_{jj}\left(y,x\right)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

Note that $V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right)$ depends on J explicitly, as well as implicitly through $\overline{\sigma}$.

The generator of 1PI vertex functions $\Gamma\left[\varphi\right]$ is the Legendre transform of $W\left[J\right]$. In terms of the effective field φ conjugate to the external source J,

$$\varphi^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x,y)=\frac{\delta W\left[J\right]}{\delta J^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x,y)}\ , \tag{B.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\left[\varphi\right] &= W\left[J\right]-\int d^2xd^2y\varphi^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x,y)J^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x,y) \\ &= i\int d^2x\ \text{Tr}\left[\ln\varphi(x,x)\right] \\ &\quad+\int d^2xd^2y\Big[\varphi^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}\left(x,y\right)\delta_{ij}\left(i\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}-m\delta^{\alpha\beta}\right)\delta^{(2)}\left(x-y\right) \\ &\quad-\frac{g^2}{2N_c}h(x-y)\left(\gamma^{+}\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^{+}\right)^{\gamma\beta}\varphi^{\alpha\beta}_{ii}\left(x,y\right)\varphi^{\gamma\delta}_{jj}\left(y,x\right)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{B.18}$$

It can be easily seen that in the large-N_c limit, $\varphi=\overline{\sigma}+\text{O}\left(1/N_c\right)$. Also

$$\left[W^{(2)}\right]^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}_{ij;kl}\left(x,y;z,w\right)=-\left[\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}_{ij;kl}\left(x,y;z,w\right)\ , \tag{B.19}$$

where $W^{(2)}$ and $\Gamma^{(2)}$ are functional second derivatives of $W[J]$ and $\Gamma[\varphi]$ respectively.

B.4 Quark propagator

Let us first solve the stationary point equation (B.14) when $J=0$.

$$\begin{aligned} &-\left(\overline{\sigma}_0^{-1}\right)^{\beta\alpha}_{ji}\left(y,x\right)+i\delta_{ij}\left(i\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\mu}-m\delta^{\alpha\beta}\right)\delta^{(2)}\left(x-y\right) \\ &-i\frac{g^2}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\left(\gamma^{+}\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^{+}\right)^{\gamma\beta}h(x-y)\ \left(\overline{\sigma}_0\right)^{\gamma\delta}_{kk}\left(y,x\right)=0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.20}$$

$\overline{\sigma}_0$ is expected to be invariant under space-time translations, and so can be parametrized as

$$(\overline{\sigma}_0)^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x,y) = -i\int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\delta_{ji}\left[\frac{1}{\not{p} - m - \Sigma(p) + i\epsilon}\right]^{\beta\alpha} e^{-ip\cdot(y-x)} \tag{B.21}$$

With this parametrization, the $J=0$ stationary point equation becomes an integral equation for $\Sigma(p)$,

$$\Sigma(p) = ig^2\int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2}\,\mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{(p^+ - q^+)^2}\right]\gamma^+\left(\frac{1}{\not{q} - m - \Sigma(q) + i\epsilon}\right)\gamma^+, \tag{B.22}$$

where the principal value prescription regularizing the singularity is defined as

$$\mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{z^2}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{(z+i\epsilon)^2} + \frac{1}{(z-i\epsilon)^2}\right]. \tag{B.23}$$

(B.22) is just the 't Hooft integral equation for the quark self-energy. The Dirac structure of the equation requires $\Sigma(p)$ to be proportional to γ^+. The instantaneous nature of the kernel demands that $\Sigma(p)$ depend only on p^+. The equation can then be solved for $\Sigma(p)$,

$$\Sigma(p) = -\frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi p^+}. \tag{B.24}$$

From (B.4), (B.15), (B.16) and (B.20), it follows that the $J=0$ stationary point, $\overline{\sigma}_0$, is the quark propagator. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}^\alpha_i(x)\,\Psi^\beta_j(y)\right)\right\rangle &= (\overline{\sigma}_0)^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x,y)\\ &= -i\int\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\delta_{ji}\left[\frac{1}{\not{p} - m + \frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi p^+} + i\epsilon}\right]^{\beta\alpha} e^{-ip\cdot(y-x)} \\ &= -i\int\frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\delta_{ji}\left[\frac{\not{p} + m + \frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi p^+}}{p^2 - m^2 + \frac{g^2}{\pi} + i\epsilon}\right]^{\beta\alpha} e^{-ip\cdot(y-x)}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{B.25}$$

B.5 Meson spectrum

The time-ordered four-point quark-antiquark Green's function can be obtained from either $W[J]$ or $\Gamma[\varphi]$. Combining (B.5) and (B.19),

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}^\alpha_i(x)\,\Psi^\beta_j(y)\,\overline{\Psi}^\gamma_k(z)\,\Psi^\delta_l(w)\right)\right\rangle &- \left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}^\alpha_i(x)\,\Psi^\beta_j(y)\right)\right\rangle\left\langle \mathrm{T}\left(\overline{\Psi}^\gamma_k(z)\,\Psi^\delta_l(w)\right)\right\rangle \\ &= -i\left.\frac{\delta^2 W[J]}{\delta J^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}(x,y)\,\delta J^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}(z,w)}\right|_{J=0} \\ &= i\left.\left[\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}_{ij;kl}(x,y;z,w)\right|_{\varphi=\overline{\sigma}_0}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.26}$$

Using $\Gamma[\varphi]$ in (B.18), one can show that

$$\Gamma^{(2)}\Big|_{\varphi=\overline{\sigma}_0} = S + K \ , \tag{B.27}$$

where

$$S^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}_{ij,kl}(x,y;z,w) = -i\left(\overline{\sigma}_0^{-1}\right)^{\beta\gamma}_{jk}(y,z)\left(\overline{\sigma}_0^{-1}\right)^{\delta\alpha}_{li}(w,x) \ , \tag{B.28}$$

$$K^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}_{ij,kl}(x,y;z,w) = -\frac{g^2}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta}h(x-y)\delta^{(2)}(z-y)\,\delta^{(2)}(w-x) \ . \tag{B.29}$$

If there are mesons in the spectrum, they will show up as poles in the four-point quark-antiquark Green's function. To find the positions of these poles, all we need to do is find the zeros of $[S+K]$. The meson spectrum is thus determined by

$$\int d^2z d^2w\,[S+K]^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}_{ij,kl}(x,y;z,w)\,\chi^{\gamma\delta}_{kl}(z,w) = 0 \ . \tag{B.30}$$

Transforming this equation to momentum space, one gets the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} & i\left(\not{p}_1 - m + \frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi p_1^+}\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(-\not{p}_2 - m - \frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi p_2^+}\right)^{\gamma\beta}\chi^{\gamma\delta}_{ji}(p_2,p_1) \\ + \ & \delta_{ij}\frac{g^2}{N_c}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\beta}\int\frac{d^2k_1d^2k_2}{(2\pi)^2}\,\delta^{(2)}(p_1+p_2-k_1-k_2) \\ & \cdot \mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_1^+-k_1^+\right)^2}\right]\chi^{\gamma\delta}_{ll}(k_2,k_1) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.31}$$

Without loss of generality, we scale the momenta and choose

$$p_1 = \left(p_1^+, p_1^-\right),\ p_2 = \left(p_2^+, p_2^-\right),\ p_1^+ + p_2^+ = 1,\ p_1^+ = x,\ k_1^+ = y = y_1,\ k_2^+ = y_2. \tag{B.32}$$

Then the momentum space integral equation (B.31) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{\alpha\beta}_{ii}\left(1-x,p_2^-;x,p_1^-\right) \ = \ & \frac{\left[p_1^-\gamma^+ + x\gamma^- + m + \frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi x}\right]^{\beta\gamma}}{2p_1^-x - m^2 + \frac{g^2}{\pi} + i\epsilon} \\ \times \ & \frac{\left[-p_2^-\gamma^+ - (1-x)\,\gamma^- + m - \frac{g^2\gamma^+}{2\pi(1-x)}\right]^{\delta\alpha}}{2p_2^-(1-x) - m^2 + \frac{g^2}{\pi} + i\epsilon} \\ \times \ & ig^2\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\sigma}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\tau\delta}\int\frac{dk_1^-dk_2^-dy_1dy_2}{(2\pi)^2}\,\delta\left(p_1^-+p_2^--k_1^--k_2^-\right) \\ \times \ & \delta\left(1-y_1-y_2\right)\mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{\left(x-y_1\right)^2}\right]\chi^{\tau\sigma}_{ll}\left(y_1,k_2^-;y_1,k_1^-\right) \end{aligned} \tag{B.33}$$

The light-front wavefunction is defined through the projection

$$\Phi^{\beta\alpha}(x) = \int dp_1^-dp_2^-\,\delta\left(\frac{M^2}{2} - p_1^- - p_2^-\right)\chi^{\alpha\beta}_{ii}\left(1-x,p_2^-;x,p_1^-\right). \tag{B.34}$$

The content of (B.33) can then be written solely in terms of $\Phi^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ as,

$$\begin{aligned}\left[M^2-\frac{\left(m^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)}{x}-\frac{\left(m^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)}{1-x}\right]\Phi^{\beta\alpha}(x) &= \frac{(x\gamma^-+m)^{\beta\gamma}\left(-(1-x)\gamma^-+m\right)^{\delta\alpha}}{2x(1-x)} \\ &\times \frac{g^2}{2\pi}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\gamma\sigma}\left(\gamma^+\right)^{\tau\delta}\int_0^1 dy\,\mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{(x-y)^2}\right]\Phi^{\sigma\tau}(y)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{B.35}$$

Using standard matrix notation, (B.35) can be written in a compact form,

$$\begin{aligned}M^2\Phi(x) &= \left[\frac{\left(m^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)}{x}+\frac{\left(m^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)}{1-x}\right]\Phi(x) \\ &+ \frac{g^2}{4\pi x(1-x)}\int_0^1 dy\,\mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{(x-y)^2}\right]\left(x\gamma^-+m\right)\gamma^+\Phi(y)\gamma^+\left(-(1-x)\gamma^-+m\right)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{B.36}$$

Until now we were working with a single flavour. The calculation can easily be extended to the multi-flavour case, since quark-antiquark pair production/annihilation vanishes in the large-N_c limit. If m_a and m_b are the masses of flavour a and b respectively, then the multi-flavour analogue of (B.36) is

$$\begin{aligned}M^2\Phi(x) &= \left[\frac{\left(m_a^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)}{x}+\frac{\left(m_b^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)}{1-x}\right]\Phi(x) \\ &+ \frac{g^2}{4\pi x(1-x)}\int_0^1 dy\,\mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{(x-y)^2}\right]\left(x\gamma^-+m_a\right)\gamma^+\Phi(y)\gamma^+\left(-(1-x)\gamma^-+m_b\right)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{B.37}$$

From the structure of above equation, one can see that only one component of $\Phi^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ is independent. We expand Φ as

$$\Phi(x)=\Phi_1(x)\,1+\Phi_+(x)\,\gamma^++\Phi_-(x)\,\gamma^-+\Phi_{+-}(x)\,\frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma^+,\gamma^-\right]_-\,. \tag{B.38}$$

Substituting this expansion in (B.37), one can easily see that $\Phi_-(x)$ satisfies the 't Hooft equation,

$$\begin{aligned}H\Phi_-(x)\equiv M^2\Phi_-(x) &= \left[\frac{\left(m_a^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)}{x}+\frac{\left(m_b^2-\frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)}{1-x}\right]\Phi_-(x) \\ &- \frac{g^2}{\pi}\int_0^1 dy\,\mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{(x-y)^2}\right]\Phi_-(y)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{B.39}$$

The remaining components $\Phi_1(x)$, $\Phi_+(x)$ and $\Phi_{+-}(x)$ are algebraically determined in terms of $\Phi_-(x)$ as

$$\Phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{m_a}{x}-\frac{m_b}{1-x}\right)\Phi_-(x)\ , \tag{B.40}$$

$$\Phi_+(x) = -\frac{m_a m_b}{2x(1-x)}\Phi_-(x) \ , \tag{B.41}$$

$$\Phi_{+-}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{m_a}{x} + \frac{m_b}{1-x}\right)\Phi_-(x) \ . \tag{B.42}$$

B.6 't Hooft solution

Over the years, the properties and solutions of the 't Hooft equation (B.39) have been investigated in great detail. Prominent results are [26][10][12]:

- For finite norm solutions, $\Phi_-(x)$ must vanish at the boundaries $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ as x^{β_a} and $(1-x)^{\beta_b}$ respectively, where

$$\pi\beta_a \cot(\pi\beta_a) = 1 - \frac{m_a^2\pi}{g^2} \ , \quad \pi\beta_b \cot(\pi\beta_b) = 1 - \frac{m_b^2\pi}{g^2} \ . \tag{B.43}$$

- The spectrum of M^2 is purely discrete. The light-front wavefunctions $\Phi_-^n(x)$ are gauge invariant, and they form a complete and orthogonal set.

$$\sum_n \Phi_-^n(x)\Phi_-^n(x') = \delta(x-x') \tag{B.44}$$

$$\int_0^1 dx \Phi_-^{n*}(x)\Phi_-^{n'}(x) = \delta^{nn'} \tag{B.45}$$

- When $m_a = m_b = 0$, the lowest meson state has zero energy. The corresponding wavefunction is $\Phi_-^1(x) = 1$. This is the Goldstone boson corresponding to chiral symmetry breaking.

- For large n (large energy), the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions can be approximated as

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} M_n^2 \simeq \pi g^2 n \\ \Phi_-^n(x) \simeq \sqrt{2}\sin(\pi n x) \end{array}\right\} n \gg 1 \ . \tag{B.46}$$

  Thus the eigenvalues form an almost linear trajectory in the $M^2 - n$ plane.

- Using the operator K defined by

$$K\Phi_-(x) = \mathrm{P}\int_0^1 dy \frac{\Phi_-(y)}{(y-x)} \ , \tag{B.47}$$

  and the fact that

$$\int_0^1 dx \ \Phi_-^n(x) \, [H, K] \, \Phi_-^n(x) = 0 \ , \tag{B.48}$$

one can prove that

$$m_b \int_0^1 dy \frac{\Phi_-^n(y)}{1-y} = -\mathrm{P}_n m_a \int_0^1 dy \frac{\Phi_-^n(y)}{y} \ . \tag{B.49}$$

Here $\mathrm{P}_n = (-1)^n$ is the parity of the eigenstate n. The lightest meson is a pseudoscalar, $\mathrm{P}_1 = -1$.

- Continuous symmetries cannot be spontaneously broken in two dimensions. In the 't Hooft model, chiral symmetry is realized in the Berezinskiĭ-Kosterlitz-Thouless mode [31],

  $$\left\langle \overline{\Psi}\Psi(x)\ \overline{\Psi}\Psi(0) \right\rangle \ \sim\ x^{-1/N_c} \ . \tag{B.50}$$

  To obtain results for the broken chiral symmetry phase (e.g. non-zero chiral condensate), one must take the $N_c \to \infty$ limit before taking the $m \to 0$ limit.

- The chiral condensate, regularized by a split-point definition and by subtracting the free field theory contribution, is [7]

  $$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \overline{\Psi}\Psi \right\rangle &= -N_c \frac{m}{2\pi} \Bigg[1 + \gamma_E + \ln\left(\frac{m^2}{g^2}\right) \\ &\qquad + \left(1 - \frac{m^2\pi}{g^2}\right) \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta_1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_n} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\} \Bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{B.51}$$

  where $\beta_n \in [n-1, n]$ are the solutions to the 't Hooft boundary condition $\pi\beta \cot(\pi\beta) = 1 - (m^2\pi/g^2)$. In the chiral limit,

  $$\left\langle \overline{\Psi}\Psi \right\rangle = -\frac{N_c}{\sqrt{12}} \left(\frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{B.52}$$

- Mesonic matrix elements of quark-antiquark bilinear operators are easily obtained from (B.40-B.42) [10]. In particular, the axial current coupling is saturated by the Goldstone boson in the chiral limit, and the pion decay constant is given by

  $$\left\langle 0 | \overline{\Psi}_i \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \Psi_i | \pi(p) \right\rangle = i f_\pi p^\mu \quad \Longrightarrow \quad f_\pi = \sqrt{\frac{N_c}{\pi}} \int_0^1 dx\ \Phi_-^1(x) \ . \tag{B.53}$$

- When one of the quark flavours is much heavier than the other, $M_Q \gg m$, one can take the static limit of the 't Hooft equation [8]. In terms of the non-relativistic variables, $E_{\mathrm{stat}} = M - m_Q$, $t = (1-x)m_Q$ and $\chi_-(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_Q}} \Phi_-(1 - \frac{1}{m_Q})$,

  $$E_{\mathrm{stat}} \chi_-(t) = \left[\frac{\left(m^2 - \frac{g^2}{\pi}\right)}{2t} + \frac{t}{2} \right] \chi_-(t) - \frac{g^2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dy\ \mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{(t-s)^2} \right] \chi_-(s) \ . \tag{B.54}$$

- Detailed results for physical properties have to be obtained numerically.

Appendix C

Lattice QCD at strong coupling and large-N_c

C.1 Preliminaries

The d-dim Euclidean lattice QCD action in the strong coupling limit is

$$\begin{aligned} S_E &= -\sum_{x,\mu} \frac{i}{2}\Big[\overline{\Psi}(x+\mu)\,(r-i\gamma^\mu)\,U(x,\mu)\Psi(x) + \overline{\Psi}(x)\,(r+i\gamma^\mu)\,U^\dagger(x,\mu)\Psi(x+\mu)\Big] \\ &\quad +im\sum_x \overline{\Psi}(x)\Psi(x)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

Here $x \equiv \left(x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^d\right)$ are points on a d-dimensional hypercubic lattice, and the lattice directions are labeled by $\mu = 1, 2, \ldots d$. We choose to work with even d, and use units such that the lattice spacing $a = 1$. The Dirac matrices have dimensions $C = 2^{d/2}$, and satisfy

$$[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]_+ = -2\delta^{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad (\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = -\gamma^\mu . \tag{C.2}$$

The gauge links $U(x,\mu)$ connect the nearest neighbour points on the lattice. They are independent random variables in the strong coupling limit (there is no pure gauge term in the action). The fermion nearest neighbour hopping term is written using the Wilson parameter r. With naive fermions ($r = 0$), the theory gives rise to 2^d fermion zero modes, one for each corner of the Brillouin zone. Choosing $r \neq 0$ removes all fermion doublers, leaving behind only the fermion mode corresponding to the continuum theory.

For naive fermions, (C.1) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} S_{EN} &= -\sum_{x,\mu} \frac{1}{2}\Big[\overline{\Psi}(x+\mu)\gamma^\mu U(x,\mu)\Psi(x) - \overline{\Psi}(x)\gamma^\mu U^\dagger(x,\mu)\Psi(x+\mu)\Big] \\ &\quad +im\sum_x \overline{\Psi}(x)\Psi(x) \end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

The global symmetry group of this naive fermion action, S_{EN}, can be easily deduced by rewriting it in terms of spin-diagonalized fermion variables χ and $\overline{\chi}$. Let

$$\Psi(x) = A(x)\chi(x) \; , \quad \overline{\Psi}(x) = \overline{\chi}(x)A^{\dagger}(x) \; . \tag{C.4}$$

The choice

$$A(x) = \left(\gamma^1\right)^{x^1}\left(\gamma^2\right)^{x^2}\cdots\left(\gamma^d\right)^{x^d} , \quad A^{\dagger}(x)A(x) = 1 \; , \tag{C.5}$$

reduces the γ-matrices in the action to position dependent phase factors,

$$\begin{array}{r} A^{\dagger}(x+\mu)\gamma^{\mu}A(x) = \Delta^{\mu}(x)\cdot 1 \\ A^{\dagger}(x)\gamma^{\mu}A(x+\mu) = -\Delta^{\mu}(x)\cdot 1 \end{array} , \tag{C.6}$$

where

$$\Delta^{\mu}(x) = (-1)^{\sum_{\nu<\mu} x^{\nu}} . \tag{C.7}$$

Thus the action reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} S_{EN} \;&=\; -\sum_{x,\mu}\frac{\Delta^{\mu}(x)}{2}\left[\overline{\chi}(x+\mu)U(x,\mu)\chi(x) + \overline{\chi}(x)U^{\dagger}(x,\mu)\chi(x+\mu)\right] \\ &\quad + im\sum_{x}\overline{\chi}(x)\chi(x) \; . \end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

It is easy to see from this form of the action that the fermion hopping term is invariant under $U_{\mathrm{o}}(C)\otimes U_{\mathrm{e}}(C)$ ("o" and "e" respectively stand for odd and even points):

$$\left.\begin{array}{lcl} \chi(x) & \rightarrow & \chi'(x) = U_{\mathrm{o(e)}}\;\chi(x) \\ \overline{\chi}(x) & \rightarrow & \overline{\chi}'(x) = \overline{\chi}(x)\;U^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{e(o)}} \end{array}\right\} \quad \text{for} \; \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} x^{\mu}\right) \; \text{odd (even)} \tag{C.9}$$

This invariance group describes the chiral symmetry of naive lattice fermions, and it is considerably larger than the corresponding chiral invariance group in the d-dim continuum theory. The fermion mass term is invariant only the diagonal subgroup $U_{\Delta}(C)$ of $U_{\mathrm{o}}(C)\otimes U_{\mathrm{e}}(C)$, defined by $U_{\mathrm{o}} = U_{\mathrm{e}}$.

For fermions with $r \neq 0$, the action S_E is invariant only under $U_{\Delta}(C)$, even if $m = 0$. The r-dependent terms break the non-diagonal part of $U_{\mathrm{o}}(C)\otimes U_{\mathrm{e}}(C)$ explicitly.

C.2 Generating functional for quark-antiquark correlation functions

Since we are interested in meson correlation functions, we add an appropriate meson source term to the starting action. The partition function of the theory is

$$Z\left[J\right] = \int\left[D\Psi\cdot D\overline{\Psi}\right]\left[DU\right]\exp\left[-S_E + \sum_{x} J^{\alpha\beta}(x)\overline{\Psi}^{\alpha}_{i}(x)\Psi^{\beta}_{i}(x)\right] . \tag{C.10}$$

The integral over the gauge links $U(x,\mu)$ completely factorizes to a separate integral for each individual link, and so can be evaluated explicitly in the large-N_c limit. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} Z\left[J\right] &= \int \left[D\Psi \cdot D\overline{\Psi}\right] \exp\left[-im\sum_{x}\overline{\Psi}(x)\Psi(x) + \sum_{x,y} J^{\alpha\beta}(x)\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}(x)\Psi_i^{\beta}(x)\right] \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \exp\left[-N_c \sum_{x,\mu} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{D}}\tilde{f}\left[B(x,\mu)\right]\right] \end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

where

$$\tilde{f}\left[B\right] = \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{N_c^2}B} - 1 - \ln\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{N_c^2}B}\right] . \tag{C.12}$$

Matrix elements of $B(x,\mu)$ in Dirac space are

$$B^{\alpha\beta}(x,\mu) = -\overline{\Psi}_i^{\alpha}(x+\mu)\Psi_i^{\delta}(x+\mu)\left(r+i\gamma_\mu^T\right)^{\delta\gamma}\overline{\Psi}_j^{\gamma}(x)\Psi_j^{\sigma}(x)\left(r-i\gamma_\mu^T\right)^{\sigma\beta} . \tag{C.13}$$

The integration over gauge link has produced non-local interactions between fermion bilinears. To perform the fermionic functional integral in the large N_c limit, we first rewrite the fermionic integral in terms of bosonic variables using the identity (B.8):

$$Z\left[J\right] = \int \left[D\sigma \cdot D\lambda\right]\ \exp\left[-V(\sigma,\lambda)\right]\ , \tag{C.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(\sigma,\lambda) &= -\sum_x \mathrm{Tr}\left[\ln(-i\lambda\otimes 1_c)(x)\right] - \sum_x i\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x)\lambda^{\alpha\beta}(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_x \left(im\delta^{\alpha\beta} - J^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right)\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x) + N_c f\left[\sigma\right]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

Here

$$f[\sigma] = \sum_{x,\mu} \mathrm{Tr}\tilde{f}\left[B(x,\mu)\right], \tag{C.16}$$

with matrix elements of $B^{\alpha\beta}(x,\mu)$ in terms of σ given by

$$B^{\alpha\beta}(x,\mu) = -\sigma_{ii}^{\alpha\delta}(x+\mu)\left(r+i\gamma_\mu^T\right)^{\delta\gamma}\sigma_{jj}^{\gamma\tau}(x)\left(r-i\gamma_\mu^T\right)^{\tau\beta}\ . \tag{C.17}$$

In the large-N_c limit, the bosonized functional integral reduces to its stationary point value. The stationary point equations are:

$$\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial\lambda^{\alpha\beta}(x)}\right|_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda}} = -i\overline{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}(x) - N_c\left(\overline{\lambda}^{-1}\right)^{\beta\alpha}(x) = 0\ , \tag{C.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma^{\alpha\beta}(x)}\right|_{\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda}} &= -i\overline{\lambda}^{\alpha\beta}(x) + \left(im\delta^{\alpha\beta} - J^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right) \\ &\quad -N_c\sum_{\mu}\Big[\left(r+i\gamma_\mu^T\right)\sigma(x-\mu)\left(r-i\gamma_\mu^T\right)\tilde{f}'\left[B(x-\mu,\mu)\right] \\ &\quad +\left(r-i\gamma_\mu^T\right)\tilde{f}'\left[B(x,\mu)\right]\sigma(x+\mu)\left(r+i\gamma_\mu^T\right)\Big]^{\beta\alpha} = 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.19}$$

Here

$$\tilde{f}'[B] \equiv \frac{\partial\tilde{f}[B]}{\partial B} = \frac{1}{2N_c^2\left(1+\sqrt{1+B/N_c^2}\right)}. \tag{C.20}$$

Solution of the first stationary point equation (C.17) gives

$$\overline{\lambda}^{\alpha\beta}(x) = iN_c\left(\overline{\sigma}^{-1}\right)^{\beta\alpha}(x) \,. \tag{C.21}$$

Substituting this in the second stationary point equation (C.18) yields a self-consistent equation for $\overline{\sigma}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &N_c\left(\overline{\sigma}^{-1}\right)^{\beta\alpha}(x) + \left(im\delta^{\alpha\beta} - J^{\alpha\beta}(x)\right) \\ &-N_c\sum_{\mu}\Big[\left(r+i\gamma_\mu^T\right)\sigma(x-\mu)\left(r-i\gamma_\mu^T\right)\tilde{f}'\left[B(x-\mu,\mu)\right] \\ &+\left(r-i\gamma_\mu^T\right)\tilde{f}'\left[B(x,\mu)\right]\sigma(x+\mu)\left(r+i\gamma_\mu^T\right)\Big]^{\beta\alpha} = 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.22}$$

Solution of this equation will yield $\overline{\sigma}$ as a function of J. The large-N_c generating functional of connected meson correlations is thus given by

$$W\left[J\right] = -\ln Z\left[J\right] = V\left(\overline{\sigma},\overline{\lambda}\right) \equiv V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right) \,, \tag{C.23}$$

with $V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right)$ (obtained by substituting $\overline{\lambda}$ in terms of $\overline{\sigma}$) given by,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{eff}}\left(\overline{\sigma};J\right) &= \sum_x \text{Tr}\left[\ln\left(\overline{\sigma}\otimes 1_c\right)(x)\right] + im\sum_x \text{tr}_{\text{D}}\overline{\sigma}(x) - \sum_x \text{tr}_{\text{D}}J^T(x)\overline{\sigma}(x) \\ &+ N_c\sum_{x,\mu}\text{tr}_{\text{D}}\left[\left(1+\frac{\overline{B}(x,\mu)}{N_c^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 - \ln\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\overline{B}(x,\mu)}{N_c^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{C.24}$$

The matrix $\overline{B}$ is B, eq.(C.17), evaluated at the stationary point,

$$\overline{B}^{\alpha\beta}(x,\mu) = -\overline{\sigma}^{\alpha\delta}(x+\mu)\left(r+i\gamma_\mu^T\right)^{\delta\gamma}\overline{\sigma}^{\gamma\tau}(x)\left(r-i\gamma_\mu^T\right)^{\tau\beta} \tag{C.25}$$

The generator of 1PI vertex functions $\Gamma\left[\varphi\right]$ is the Legendre transform of $W\left[J\right]$. In terms of the effective field φ conjugate to the external source J,

$$\varphi^{\alpha\beta}(x) = \frac{\partial W\left[J\right]}{\partial J^{\alpha\beta}(x)} \,, \tag{C.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma[\varphi] &= W[J] + \sum_x J^{\alpha\beta}(x)\,\varphi^{\alpha\beta}(x) \\
&= \sum_x \mathrm{Tr}\left[\ln\left(\varphi\otimes 1_c\right)\right](x) + im\sum_x \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{D}}\varphi(x) \\
&\quad + N_c \sum_{x,\mu} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{D}}\left[\left(1+\frac{\overline{B}(x,\mu)}{N_c^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 - \ln\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\overline{B}(x,\mu)}{N_c^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{C.27}$$

with

$$\overline{B}^{\alpha\beta}(x,\mu) = -\varphi^{\alpha\delta}(x+\mu)\left(r+i\gamma_\mu^T\right)^{\delta\gamma}\varphi^{\gamma\tau}(x)\left(r-i\gamma_\mu^T\right)^{\tau\beta}. \tag{C.28}$$

Note that $\varphi \simeq \overline{\sigma}$ in the large-N_c limit. Also

$$\left[W^{(2)}\right]^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}(x,y) = \left[\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\alpha\beta;\gamma\delta}(x,y)\,, \tag{C.29}$$

where $W^{(2)}$ and $\Gamma^{(2)}$ are functional second derivatives of $W[J]$ and $\Gamma[\varphi]$ respectively.

C.3 Chiral condensate

When $J=0$, we expect the stationary point to be translationally invariant. Then equation (C.22) gives,

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_0} + im + \frac{d\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0}{1+\sqrt{1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2}} = 0\,, \tag{C.30}$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_0^{\alpha\beta}(x) = N_c\tilde{\sigma}_0\delta^{\alpha\beta}$. This equation can be solved to yield

$$\tilde{\sigma}_0 = i\frac{-m(d-1)+d\sqrt{m^2+(2d-1)\left(1-r^2\right)}}{d^2\left(1-r^2\right)+m^2}\,. \tag{C.31}$$

The chiral condensate is given by

$$\left\langle\overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x)\Psi_i^\alpha(x)\right\rangle = \left.\frac{\partial W[J]}{\partial J^{\alpha\alpha}(x)}\right|_{J=0} = \left(\overline{\sigma}_0\right)^{\alpha\alpha}(x) = N_c C\tilde{\sigma}_0\,, \tag{C.32}$$

In particular,

- Naive fermions ($r=0$):

$$\left\langle\overline{\Psi}\Psi\right\rangle = iN_cC\frac{-m(d-1)+d\sqrt{m^2+2d-1}}{d^2+m^2}\,. \tag{C.33}$$

  In the chiral limit $m\to 0$, a non-zero chiral condensate signals spontaneous breakdown of $U_{\mathrm{o}}(C)\otimes U_{\mathrm{e}}(C)$ to its diagonal subgroup $U_\Delta(C)$,

$$\lim_{m\to 0}\left\langle\overline{\Psi}\Psi\right\rangle = iN_cC\frac{\sqrt{2d-1}}{d}\,. \tag{C.34}$$

- Wilson fermions ($r=1$):

$$\left\langle\overline{\Psi}\Psi\right\rangle = N_cC\frac{i}{m}\,. \tag{C.35}$$

  Chiral symmetry is explicitly broken in this case.

C.4 Meson propagator

The meson propagator is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \overline{\Psi}_i^\alpha(x)\Psi_i^\beta(x)\overline{\Psi}_j^\gamma(y)\Psi_j^\delta(y)\right\rangle &= \left.\frac{\partial W[J]}{\partial J^{\alpha\beta}(x)}\right|_{J=0} \left.\frac{\partial W[J]}{\partial J^{\gamma\delta}(y)}\right|_{J=0} + \left.\frac{\partial^2 W[J]}{\partial J^{\alpha\beta}(x)\partial J^{\gamma\delta}(y)}\right|_{J=0} \\
&= \left(N_c\tilde{\sigma}_0\right)^2 \delta^{\alpha\beta}\delta^{\gamma\delta} + \left.\left[\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(x,y)\right|_{\varphi=\overline{\sigma}_0}, \qquad \text{(C.36)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\left.\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(x,y)\right|_{\varphi=\overline{\sigma}_0} &= -\frac{1}{N_c\tilde{\sigma}_0^2}\delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta}\delta_{x,y} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{N_c}\Big[\left(G_1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\right)\sum_\mu \Big\{\left(r-i\gamma_\mu\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(r+i\gamma_\mu\right)^{\gamma\beta}\delta_{x-\mu,y} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \left(r+i\gamma_\mu\right)^{\alpha\delta}\left(r-i\gamma_\mu\right)^{\gamma\beta}\delta_{x+\mu,y}\Big\} \\
&\qquad -2d\left(1-r^2\right)^2\tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2 \delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta}\delta_{x,y}\Big], \qquad \text{(C.37)}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$G_1 = \frac{1}{2\left(1+\sqrt{1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2}\right)}\,, \qquad \text{(C.38)}$$

$$G_2 = \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2}\right)^2}\,. \qquad \text{(C.39)}$$

Eq.(C.37) is the inverse of the connected meson propagator. The poles of the meson propagator therefore correspond to the zero eigenvalues of $\Gamma^{(2)}(p)$. Transforming $\Gamma^{(2)}(x,y)$ to momentum space, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(p) &= -\frac{1}{N_c}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_0^2} - 2d\left(1-r^2\right)^2\tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\Big)\delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta} \qquad \text{(C.40)} \\
&\quad + \left(G_1+\left(1-r^2\right)\tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\right)\sum_\mu \Big\{2\left(r^2\delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta}+\gamma_\mu^{\alpha\delta}\gamma_\mu^{\gamma\beta}\right)\cos\left(p_\mu\right) \\
&\qquad +2r\left(\delta^{\alpha\delta}\gamma_\mu^{\gamma\beta}-\gamma_\mu^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta}\right)\sin\left(p_\mu\right)\Big\}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma^{(2)}(p)$ is a matrix in the C^2-dimensional space of the Clifford algebra generated by the d gamma matrices. This matrix has to be diagonalized in order to find the spectrum of meson states. In 4-dim, the commonly chosen Clifford algebra basis is:

$$\Gamma_M = \{S=1,\ V=\gamma_\mu,\ T=\frac{1}{2}[\gamma_\mu,\gamma_\nu],\ A=\gamma_\mu\gamma_5,\ P=\gamma_5\}\,. \qquad \text{(C.41)}$$

There is at most one meson state for each spin-parity sector, i.e. no radial excitations survive in the strong coupling limit.

For naive fermions ($r = 0$), the inverse connected meson propagator is

$$\left(\Gamma^{(2)}\right)^{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(p) = -N_c\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_0^2} - 2d\tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\Big)\delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\gamma\beta} + 2\left(G_1 + \tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\right)\sum_\mu \gamma_\mu^{\alpha\delta}\gamma_\mu^{\gamma\beta}\cos\left(p_\mu\right)\Big], \quad \text{(C.42)}$$

and it is exactly diagonalized by the basis (C.41). The resultant dispersion relation is

$$4\sum_\mu \eta_\mu^A \sin^2\left(\frac{p_\mu}{2}\right) + \left\{\frac{im}{\tilde{\sigma}_0\left(G_1 + \tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\right)} + 4n\right\} = 0\ , \quad \text{(C.43)}$$

where n is 0 (pseudoscalar), 1 (vector), ... , $d-1$ (axial), d (scalar), and η_μ^A is $+1(-1)$ when Γ_M anticommutes (commutes) with γ_μ. This result shows that, the fermion doubling phenomenon for naive fermions, produces C^2 pseudo-Goldstone bosons with mass

$$M^2 = \frac{im}{\tilde{\sigma}_0\left(G_1 + \tilde{\sigma}_0^2 G_2\right)} = im\frac{4\sqrt{1+\tilde{\sigma}_0^2}}{\tilde{\sigma}_0}\ , \quad \text{(C.44)}$$

one for each corner of the Brillouin zone. The pseudoscalar located at $p = 0$ is identified as the physical pion, while the other modes at $p = 0$ (i.e. $n \neq 0$) are interpreted as the heavier meson states [16][19].

For Wilson fermions, we restrict ourselves to $d = 4$. For $r \neq 0$, the inverse connected meson propagator separates into three block matrices corresponding to S, PA and VT channels. As r increases from 0 to 1, states within each channel get more and more strongly coupled. For $r \neq 0$, the "chiral limit" is non-perturbatively defined as the value $m = m_c$ for which the pseudoscalar mass vanishes:

$$r \to 0\ :\ m_c \sim \frac{3\sqrt{7}}{4}r\ , \qquad r = 1\ :\ m_c = 2\ . \quad \text{(C.45)}$$

At $m = m_c$, $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ decreases smoothly from $\frac{\sqrt{7}}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ as r increases from 0 to 1. For $r \neq 0$, all physical meson states are located at $p = 0$. At $r = 1$ in particular, there are only four meson states, one pseudoscalar and three vectors [14][15][20].

Bibliography

[1] W. A. Bardeen and R. B. Pearson, Phys. Rev. D14 (1976) 547.

[2] E. Brezin and D.J. Gross, Phys. Lett. B97 (1980) 120.

[3] S. Brodsky, C-R. Ji and M. Sawicki, Phys. Rev. D32 (1985) 1530.

[4] R.C. Brower, J. Ellis, M.G. Schmidt and J. Weis, Nucl. Phys. B128 (1977) 131; Nucl. Phys. B128 (1977) 175.

[5] G. Burgio, A. Feo, M. Peardon and S.M. Ryan, Phys. Rev. D67 (2003) 114502.

[6] M. Burkardt and S. Dalley, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 48 (2002) 317.

[7] M. Burkardt, F. Lenz and M. Theis, Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 125002.

[8] M. Burkardt and N. Uraltsev, Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 014004.

[9] J. Cardy, Phys. Lett, B61 (1976) 293.

[10] C.G. Callan, Jr., N. Coote and D. J. Gross, Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 1649.

[11] S. Coleman, *Aspects of Symmetry: Selected Erice Lectures*, p.351, Cambridge University Press (1985).

[12] M.B. Einhorn, Phys. Rev. D14 (1976) 3451.

[13] M. Einhorn, S. Nussinov and E. Rabinovici, Phys. Rev. D15 (1977) 2282.

[14] N. Kawamoto, Nucl. Phys. B190 (1981) 170.

[15] N. Kawamoto and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B192 (1981) 100.

[16] H. Kluberg-Stern, A. Morel, O. Napoly and B. Petersson, Nucl. Phys. B190 (1981) 504.

[17] Y. Makeenko, *Large-N Gauge Theories*, Lectures at the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Quantum Geometry, Akureyri, Iceland (1999), `hep-th/0001047`.

[18] A.V. Manohar, *Large-N QCD*, Les Houches Session on Probing the Standard Model of Particle Interactions, Part 2, p.1091 (1997) `hep-ph/9802419`.

[19] O. Martin, *Large-N Gauge Theory at Strong Coupling with Chiral Fermions*, Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology (1983).

[20] R. Friedberg and O. Martin, Nucl. Phys. B279 (1987) 684.

[21] I. Montvay and G. Munster, *Quantum Fields on a Lattice*, Cambridge University Press (1994).

[22] A. Patel, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 94 (2001) 260; Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 106 (2002) 287.

[23] S. Pokorski, *Gauge Field Theories*, Cambridge University Press (1987).

[24] S. Shei and H. Tsao, Nucl. Phys. B141 (1978) 445.

[25] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B72 (1974) 461.

[26] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B75 (1974) 461. 1649.

[27] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. II: Modern Applications*, Cambridge University Press (1996).

[28] K. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 2445.

[29] K.G. Wilson, T.S. Walhout, A. Harindranath, W.-M. Zhang, R.J. Perry and S.D. Głazek, Phys. Rev. D49 (1994) 6720.

[30] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B160 (1979) 57.

[31] A.R. Zhitnitsky, Phys. Lett. 165B (1985) 405; Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 43 (1986) 999.